

Received: from e3000b.state.ms.us  
by governor.state.ms.us; Sun, 16 Dec 2001 23:03:02 -0600  
Received: from mx1.its.state.ms.us (mx1.its.state.ms.us [192.42.4.253])  
by e3000b.state.ms.us (8.10.0/8.10.0) with SMTP id fBH5Bx901657  
for <governor@governor.state.ms.us>; Sun, 16 Dec 2001 23:11:59 -0600 (CST)  
Received: from pimout5-int.prodigy.net (pimout5-ext.prodigy.net [207.115.63.98])  
by mx1.its.state.ms.us (8.10.0/8.10.0) with ESMTP id fBH58Ob04898  
for <governor@governor.state.ms.us>; Sun, 16 Dec 2001 23:08:24 -0600 (CST)  
Received: from pacbell.net (dialup-209.245.3.21.Dial11.Denver1.Level3.net [209.245.3.21])

by pimout5-int.prodigy.net (8.11.0/8.11.0) with ESMTP id fBH4i3q52474;  
Sun, 16 Dec 2001 23:44:03 -0500

Message-ID: <3C1D7832.EE5DBFD4@pacbell.net>

Date: Sun, 16 Dec 2001 21:44:36 -0700

From: stopmnw@pacbell.net

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.79 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)

X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0

Subject: How They Created The Police State - You Just Lost All Your Rights And Freedom

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----4174070D5146E4BB7B926989"

-----4174070D5146E4BB7B926989

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

X-MIME-Autoconverted: from 8bit to quoted-printable by mx1.its.state.ms.us id fBH58Ob04898

Yes, that is correct, it's called HR3162. If you don't have a copy of it, I suggest you get one. Now. It just removed the Constitution and the Bill Of Rights from our existence. Do NOT believe the lie that this document, and others like it, will be "Grand fathered" or simply done away with after four years. This will not happen. Do NOT take solace in the fact that this Legislation is "only" aimed at those weird, brown-skinned Arab types....you know, the ones with towels on their heads..... Think again - you and I are next. This is precisely the very same tract taken by every petty Dictator, Tyrant or wann-be starting with Rome, or before, running through Hitler, Stalin, Mussolini all those bozo's in Africa, and on, and on. That is exactly what has occurred in America - as you waved your flags and sang your songs. If you should take the time to research history, you will soon find this to be true.....and hopefully allow you draw those parallels necessary to today's times. If nothing else, read the following article concerning a young college student who had an anti-Bush poster in her room. Still think this is America? Wake up people, it is time to shed blood.

"Our job is to give people not what they want, but what we decide they ought to have."

-- Richard Salent, Former President CBS News.

"News is what someone wants to suppress.

Everything else is advertising".

former NBC news president Rubin Frank

"Nothing would be what it is. Because everything would be what it isn't.

And contrary-wise, what it is, it wouldn't be. And what it wouldn't be, i=

t

would. You see?" -- Alice in Wonderland - America and the World Today

Do not fear the enemy, for your enemy can only take your life. It is far better that you fear the media, for they will steal your HONOR. That

awful power, the public opinion of a nation, is created in America by a horde of ignorant, self-complacent simpletons who failed at ditching and shoemaking and fetched up in journalism on their way to the poorhouse. -  
-Mark Twain.

"There's no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren't enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that it becomes impossible for men to live without breaking laws." And this, my friends, is PRECISELY what has occurred in America.

"The American people have stood idly by and watched their freedoms and rights taken away without hardly a whimper. I simply do not understand how they could have allowed this. Using the (phony) excuse of "Terrorism", they have allowed the present Government to turn America into a Police State, all the while waving their flags

and singing the National Anthem! I'm incredulous.....are Americans actually that blind, that deaf that foolish that they could allow such hideous, evil acts to occur in front of their very eyes, and do nothing to stop them? Have they forgotten everything the founding fathers taught us, the lessons paid in blood for our freedoms by millions of men, dead and alive? Apparently so.....the people need look no further than the White House, and No. 10 Downing St. to find their "Terrorists". And, more accurately, the agents employed by various Federal Law Enforcement (that's a joke!!) agencies. If I may

be so bold - there is no war on terrorism, but rather a war on the citizens of America, Britain, Canada and every other Nation. This type of operation is referred to as a

"PsyOps", or psychological operations. The ultimate goal is to frighten people so badly that they will willingly give up their rights and freedoms - for a little "peace".

I refer you to Ben Franklin's thoughts on that subject - "They that give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety." And might I add, will not have either. Knowing that America could never be disarmed, the ruling Regime has decided on a more subtle scheme, staged and orchestrated

"Terrorist" acts. Evil, but brilliant, I must admit. the long and short of it  
people, is  
that Bush and Bush and their cronies have stolen your right, your ability  
to be  
a  
free American.....and you stand by and watch. I think that's enough.....=  
...I  
must.....  
what? One last statement to the people of America.....? I've already =  
said  
about  
all that I could possibly say to the people of America - there is no war =  
on  
terrorism,  
people, but on your Freedom's. the Bush's are nothing more than proponent=  
's of  
what is loosely referred to as the New World Order. Old man Bush is the b=  
iggest  
drug  
dealer in the world, he and the CIA, that is. I know, I used to work for  
him.....they  
are all Satanist's, dedicated to the principles set fourth by Weisnhaupt =  
and  
Rothchild  
in 1756, of complete world domination and control - Satan's ultimate goal=  
. They  
do not  
believe in what most people think - they don't believe in what so-called  
Republicans  
believe in, there is no difference (between a Democrat and Republican) an=  
yway.  
They don't believe in God, or Family or right or wrong or any of that stu=  
ff, but  
only in their  
agenda, an agenda of total world control, of evil of murdering literally  
millions of people and  
enslaving what remains for the sole purpose of their bloody vision, a  
vision of a "New World Order". Derisive laugh..... there is nothing n=  
ew  
about this "vision",  
it's the same old nonsense - the very few rich governing the very many  
poor.....that's you  
and I, by the way. You'll do what you are told or be incarcerated in a FE=  
MA  
concentration  
camp or you'll be executed. Remember Nazi Germany, Stalin's Russia.....? =  
And  
that's enough...(pushing back in his chair), I can't say anymore. Wake u=  
p  
America, open your  
eyes, your ears. You are about to be enslaved, and you are cheering them =  
all the  
way."  
"Mike" turns around briefly as he exits the door, "by the way, word is th=  
e  
Government is  
planning on launching more of their staged terrorist attacks around Chris=  
tmas.  
Don't  
know if it's true, but it never hurts to be prepared." Silence.....th=  
e door  
swings shut.

"Mik=

inside  
The Beltway

=93I Don=92t Buy It=94

=93 I was one of the first tenants in the World Trade Center (WTC) back in 1979. Back then----over 20 years ago----it was known to all the tenants of the WTC that the WTC was a =93no fly=94 zone. If you came within 12 miles of the WTC, flying outside of a pattern where you were supposed to be, you were warned to back off. If you came within five miles, they would threaten to shoot you down. If you came within three miles, = could shoot you down. If I remember correctly, on the roof of tower No. 2 they had surface to air missiles for that purpose= plus also the Spatz helicopters (for that purpose). This policy has not changed in the past (20) years".

"I had a friend who was flying a small plane who got warned and they almost blew him out of the sky a few years ago because was showing somebody a close view of the towers".

=93I can see the first tower getting hit by surprise, but 15= minutes later the second tower also gets hit? I don=92t buy it. I don't = buy any of it. The only way this could happen is it had to be sanctioned from someone high-up in Government or in Law Enforcement.=94

"Walt", From an Interview on Radio Fr=

ee  
America

"Today, we need a nation of Minutemen, who are not only prepared to take arms, but citizens who regard the preservation of Freedom as the basic purpose of their daily lives, and who are willing to consciously work and sacrifice for that Freedom".

How They Created The Police State

You Just Lost All Your Rights And Freedom

Bullied and herded by the leaders of both parties, our witless Solons in Washington passed the "USA PATRIOT Act" by a huge margin. Since the bill wasn't even printed before the vote, they couldn't possibly have read this 372-page document. But even if they had read it, it's doubtful they would have balked at passing the most Draconian wartime measure since the infamous Alien and Sedition Acts. In the wake of 9/11, none but a few brave souls wanted to be seen as "soft on terrorism," and, in any case, the politicians' instinctive impulse to gather more power unto themselves was automatic, in this instance, as in any other. The big problem, however, is that a number of surprises are beginning to surface, as our congressional "patriots" realize that blindly playing follow-the-leader can often lead one over a cliff.

#### SHOCKING THE BOURGEOISIE

Much attention has been paid to the "civil libertarian" aspects of this hideous bill: it would permit indefinite detention of non-citizens; and give the authorities carte blanche to read email, open snail-mail, and eavesdrop on phone conversations - all without a warrant, and without having to answer to anyone. The Orwellian possibilities of a national chip-implanted ID card, and a national database to match, are pretty obvious, and these, too, are promised in the bill. But one key yet so far overlooked aspect of the "Patriot" Act is the draconian controls it imposes on American business, both large transnational mega-corporations and local mom-and-pop stores. Mr. and Mrs. Bourgeoisie tend to be GOP types, but what will these stolid Republicans say when they realize that they, too, are being "pressed into service on the home front in the war on terrorism," as the Boston Globe put it?

#### DEPARTMENT OF SUSPICIOUS TRANSACTIONS

In the name of "patriotism" and the "war on terrorism," retailers are being conscripted into an army of informants whose job it is to spy on their customers. Under the terms of this legislation, all businesses must report "suspicious transactions" to the Treasury Department. What makes a transaction "suspicious"? You can bet there will be a 500-page manual to answer all your questions, and if you want to stay in business you had better commit it to memory - or find yourself accused of aiding and abetting terrorism.

#### TYRANNY BY INCREMENTS

According to the text of the Act, "any person engaged in trade or businesses" must report all transactions over \$10,000 to Washington bureaucrats: failure to do so could result in punishment far worse than a mere fine. Here is a perfect example of how the principle of incrementalism works in favor of the

expansion of state power.

Before the passage of the Bank Secrecy Act of 1970, this requirement previously applied only to pawnbrokers and car dealers, as a way to track down stolen goods. The Banking Secrecy Act, passed in the name of fighting the "war on drugs," extended the reporting system to banking institutions of one sort or another. The USA PATRIOT Act universalizes this surveillance, and, in the process, gives government officials a key weapon that may be utterly useless in fighting terrorism, but may prove invaluable in the government's perpetual battle to expropriate wealth and centralize power.

#### THE ROAD TO CORRUPTION

If I buy three \$4,000 shipments of, say, tea imported from Japan, the fight against terrorism is not going to be helped one whit if I report it to the Economic Surveillance Unit of the Office of Homeland Security. Who may be helped, however, is my competitor in the tea trade who, perhaps, has a "friend" in the bureaucracy with access to this information. To know what the competition is buying, and how much they're paying - what business rival wouldn't pay through the nose to obtain this vital information? If you think corruption is already endemic, wait until you see what life in wartime is like.

'OPEN THE BOOKS!'

Aside from the corruption angle, this little-known aspect of the "Patriot Act" practically fulfills one of the key demands in the old Marxist program: back in the old days, the Commies would always demand of "big business" that they "open the books" - that is, reveal the details of their business operations. The idea was that once the profit margins of the capitalists were exposed to public view, such a display of wealth and greed would seem so obviously obscene and exploitative that it would inevitably lead to a socialist revolution. What the Commies never managed to pull off, George W. Bush and his fellow Republicans have accomplished in a single day's session of Congress and the stroke of a presidential pen. The books of American business have been pried open, not by the proletariat, but by the leaders of the world's leading capitalist country.

#### SNEAK ATTACK

Headlines proclaim the glorious "liberation" of Afghanistan, as the progress of our noble allies from one victory to another is breathlessly analyzed and celebrated. Has Kunduz fallen? Will the Northern Alliance keep Kabul? And what of Herat? The fate of cities half a world away is trumpeted from the rooftops, but news of another sort of sneak attack, this time against our free enterprise system, is barely heard above a whisper. "This is a big deal, and a big change, for the vast majority of American businesses," says Joe Rubin, chief lobbyist for the US Chamber of Commerce. "But I don't think anybody realizes it's happened."

Oh god, I can't wait until they find out! Let us anticipate the following scenario with unalloyed and unapologetic glee: pro-war blowhard Joe Everyman, who runs a small electronics business out of his home, is suddenly confronted with reams of paperwork before he can purchase or sell anything of consequence. As he encounters costly delays, and watches his small savings melt away against the backdrop of a general economic downturn, perhaps he'll stop railing against "ragheads" long enough to realize he's been had.

Or, then again, if he's a real dumbo, maybe he'll just scream all the louder that we have to start bombing Iraq, and perhaps Syria, funneling his inchoate anger at an acceptably "patriotic" target. This, at any rate, is what the War Party is hoping for, as they gear up for "phase two" of Operation Enduring Freedom - not to mention phases three through three-hundred-and-ten.

#### OH SO CONVENIENT

This war is oh so convenient for so many people that it is no wonder they're telling us it could last a whole generation. If we ask the key question - "Who benefits?" - the results are like doing a Google-search on the phrase "grassy knoll" - the results are too numerous to even list, let alone describe. One overlooked political benefit to the current administration is that the war is an excellent diversion from the bad economic news on the home front. An economic downturn that can always be blamed on Osama Bin Laden would have come, anyway: the "almost official" declaration that we are in a recession hardly comes as news to the markets, which have been in a radical downswing that shows no signs of abating anytime soon. And here we come to the economic uses of this war, as the real meaning of what our rulers have in store for us becomes all too apparent.

#### THE WWII ANALOGY & ITS USES

The War Party is fond of referring to what is essentially a police action against a ragtag band of fanatics as the moral and military equivalent of World War II: the propaganda purposes of this sort of hyperbole are manifold. Not only does it demonize the other side as the modern-day equivalent of Hitler and the Nazis - "Islamic fascism," you'll recall, is the source of the New Popular Front's ire - but it also gives a sense of scale to the struggle.

Clearly, in their minds, this is a gigantic battle that must not be confined to the wilds of Afghanistan, or Somalia, or wherever they decide to go next. It must permeate every aspect of American life: we must be perpetually "on alert," and not only against young Middle Eastern-looking men and their

ubiquitous box-cutters. We must also be on guard against "suspicious transactions" - and those who fail to report them. Oh, what a field day politically-connected business interests are going to have against their = less influential competitors! In addition to the toll-free "Report-a-Raghead" hotlines opened up by the FBI and Homeland Security, we'll have an econom= ic saboteur hotline, where stool pigeons can rat on their rivals and the who= le system of bribery and outright thievery that characterized the Clinton er= a can metastasize into a permanent condition.

#### SOCIALISM WITH A 'CAPITALIST' FACE

The economic regulations enshrined in the "Patriot" Act have more to do w= ith the government's war on American business than with any "war on terrorism= ." The war spirit, transferred to the economy, gives us - socialism, or something damn near it. Who can doubt that this information - encoded, of course, in a national database - will be put to other uses, such as "econ= omic planning"? That the Sovietization of the American economy was accomplishe= d, not by a Leninist vanguard party, but by two ostensibly capitalist partie= s, the Republicans and the Democrats, is an irony that few will note - until= it is too late.

#### A SOVIET AMERICA

Oh, and don't let them tell you that these are only "emergency" measures,= and will be repealed once the war is over. To begin with, if we take the overblown rhetoric of the War Party at face value, we may not live to see= the end of this war. Secondly, since when has any government ever surrendered power voluntarily?: a government prerogative, once granted during wartime= , tends to stay encoded in law. Look at New York City's rent control laws, enacted as an emergency wartime measure, still in force after all these years. No, the longer the "Patriot" Act is allowed to subvert the Constitution, and trample on our liberty, the more likely it is to become= a permanent feature of American life. Congress must be forced - forced by a popular outcry - to take a second look. This onerous legislation, which l= ays the foundations for a Soviet socialist America, must be repealed.

'DON'T TREAD ON ME!'

As the first phase of a campaign to get rid of this Orwellian monstrosity= , and warn our rulers that we won't put up with it, it is high time to turn= the propoganda of the War Party on its head: that is, to use their own tactic= s against them. Our ruling elites are overjoyed, of course, that patriotism= is "in," and do their best to ensure that any expression of dissent is angril= y dismissed as disloyalty or worse. The popularity of displaying the Americ= an flag is being touted as proof of the blind loyalty of the masses to anyth=

ing  
the government says or does, when, of course, it is nothing of the kind.

The red-white-and-blue hangs outside my door, and I am certainly not givi=  
ng  
the US government a blank check to go on a rampage, either at home or abr=  
oad.

People are literally waving the flag out of sheer defiance, and legitimat=  
e

anger at the sheer unspeakable horror of the 9/11 attack, not out of  
knee-jerk support for any particular government policies. One way to visi=  
bly

register dissent from the all-out assault on our civil liberties is to br=  
ing

out another, older flag, the one that preceded Old Glory. A banner showin=  
g a

coiled snake - the Gadsden flag - was popular during the American Revolut=  
ion,

because it was emblazoned with a message to King George and any potential  
oppressor or attacker: "Don't Tread on Me"!

-----  
-----

November 21, 2001

A Durham student activist gets a visit from the Secret Service

B Y J. E L L I S T O N

Threat or dissent? A.J. Brown and her anti-Bush poster and the New  
American Police State

A.J. Brown, a 19-year-old freshman at Durham Tech, was thanking God it wa=  
s  
Friday. It was 5 p.m., the school week was over, and in an hour she'd be  
meeting her boyfriend to unwind.

Then: Knock, knock ... unexpected guests at Brown's Duke Manor apartment.  
Opening the door, she found a casually dressed man, and a man and woman i=  
n  
what appeared to be business attire. Her first thought, she says, was, "A=  
re  
these people going to sell me something?"

But then the man in the suit introduced himself and the woman as agents  
from the Raleigh office of the U.S. Secret Service. The other man was an  
investigator from the Durham Police Department. "Ma'am, we've gotten a  
report that you have anti-American material," the male agent said, accord=  
ing  
to Brown. Could they come in to have a look around?

"Do you have a warrant?" Brown asked. They did not. "Then you're not comi=  
ng  
in my apartment," she said. And indeed, they stayed outside her doorway.  
But they stayed a while--40 minutes, Brown estimates--and gave her a tast=  
e  
of how dissenters can come under scrutiny in wartime.

And all because of a poster on her wall.

Though she's still a teenager, Brown is already more informed about  
political repression than most Americans. She's been politically aware an=  
d  
involved since grade school. "In second grade, I saw the Gulf War on  
television, and seeing those bombs drop, it did something to me," she say=  
s.

"I knew from some news reports that there were innocent people dying."

In middle school, Brown became interested in environmentalism and civil liberties. She made the shift to full-fledged activist at Jordan High School when she became involved with Youth Voice Radio, a media collective with a leftist bent. Most recently, she's been involved with the movement against the war in Afghanistan.

Brown and fellow activists often discuss government encroachments on free speech and political organizing, she says, as do some of her favorite hip-hop artists. She loves her music--and that may have been what sparked the turn of events that brought the Secret Service to her door.

Brown suspects it began with the noise complaints. On Oct. 22, a Monday evening, she stayed up late playing some new CDs for her boyfriend. By her own admission, she was playing them too loud. Around midnight, a Durham police officer came by to tell her to turn it down, and she obliged.

Two nights later, someone from Duke Manor called in another noise complaint, and again a police officer came to Brown's door. This time, she says, her music wasn't playing at an offensive volume. The police officer speculated that the call may have been about someone else's stereo. During this visit, and unlike the first, the officer had a full view of the wall that faces Brown's front doorway, a detail that would become relevant two days later: On that wall hung The Poster.

Brown got it at an "anti-inauguration" protest in Washington, D.C. Distributed to hundreds of activists, it depicts George W. Bush holding a length of rope against a backdrop of lynching victims, and reads: "We hang on your every word. George Bush: Wanted, 152 Dead"--a reference to the number of people executed by the state of Texas while Bush was governor. Brown believes that the message caused the Durham policeman who paid the second visit to her apartment to recommend a third.

On Friday, Oct. 26, two Secret Service agents, along with Durham police investigator Rex Godley, came to Brown's apartment. Special Agent Paul Lalley, who did most of the talking, spoke first. "Ma'am, we've gotten a report that you have anti-American material, or something like that, in your apartment," he said, according to Brown. Then the female agent asked if they could come inside.

When Brown pressed them for a warrant and refused to allow them in, she says, "They started to talk to me about how, 'We're not here to take you away or put you in jail.' They were like, 'We need to follow up on every report we get.' I said, 'That's understandable, but how would you even know what's in my apartment?'"

"They just said they had gotten information from some place," she says. She speculates that it was from the police officer who visited for the second noise complaint.

Godley, the Durham police investigator, won't say where the authorities got their tip about Brown's poster. "The only thing I can tell you is that we were assisting the Secret Service on one of their cases," he says.

Lalley referred questions about the visit to Special Agent Craig Ulmer, who heads the Secret Service office in Raleigh.

"We went in the first place because we received a tip about a threat against the president," Ulmer says. He refuses to identify the source of the tip, except to say that it was a "concerned citizen" and not a law enforcement officer. It's Secret Service policy to keep such sources confidential.

"We can't discuss who gives us information like that, because we want people to bring us information," Ulmer says. "If we burn our bridges, so = to speak, we're not going to get help from the public."

Ulmer added that the poster "was in plain view, even from the window, so anyone could have tipped us off."

The agents persisted in their effort to get a peek inside the apartment. "They were being friendly, trying to get me to let them in," Brown says. After a while, Brown called her mother, an IBM employee who is in the Army Reserve. "She said to absolutely not let them in," Brown says. Not sure what else to do, Brown passed the phone--with her mother still on the line--to one of the agents.

The standoff continued, and eventually the agents explained why they had come by: "We already know what it is; it's a target of Bush," one of them said, according to Brown--apparently a reference to the poster. She informed them it was no such thing. They then said, "Well, it's Bush hanging himself." Nope, she told them.

Finally, Brown relented a bit, agreeing to open the door and show them her poster wall. "They looked in, and the lady was like, 'Ohhhh, that's not that bad.'" The male agent added, "We've seen worse."

Still, Brown's brush with the authorities wasn't over. "Since they were just gawking at my wall, I decided to explain it."

The wall features Brown's favorite art and mementos: a high-school photo project showing the perils of smoking cigarettes; a Pink Floyd poster ("I= t has that phrase, 'Mother should I trust the government,' so I had to get it"); posters for two Japanese cartoon shows; several pictures she took at protests and rallies; and a headband with "Democracy" on it. And, of course, the Bush-as-hangman poster.

Having seen the poster, Brown says, the agents questioned her further, asking: "Do you have any Afghanistan stuff in your apartment, or anything pertaining to that? Any pro-Taliban stuff?"

"I kept saying no," Brown says, "and I was like, personally, I think the Taliban are a bunch of assholes." With that, the investigator and the agents bid her adieu.

Brown was temporarily rattled by the visit from the Secret Service, she says, but the poster's still up, and she's still committed to her activism.

"I'm definitely going to be vocal," she says. "If things get really hairy and they decide to come after activists, then I'd have to just grit my teeth and go through it."

Ulmer rejects the notion that Brown was targeted because of her politics, and he insists that the Secret Service would have checked this tip out even if it had come in before the events of Sept. 11. "We were doing our job i=

this particular case," he says, "and I don't think we could have done it any better."

"The Secret Service takes all threats against the president seriously, and we go out to check on every one. A citizen thought that there was a threat, and we went and talked to Ms. Brown and we found that there was not a threat." The poster, he says, was "misconstrued" by the tipster. "So it's not a big issue. The issue is that someone misinterpreted some writing."

But when "some writing" on a poster is investigated by federal authorities, constitutional issues come into play. Some legal analysts are warning that the new national security vigilance, and new laws passed to counter terrorism, might impinge on free speech in big and small ways.

"A poster of Bush, even if he's in a noose, is protected speech during wartime or peacetime," notes Alex Charns, a Durham attorney who specializes in civil rights. Such speech is all the more protected, he points out, when it's displayed within a person's home.

"If a trained police officer doesn't know the difference between political speech and a threat to the president, then we're all in trouble," Charns says. "If the Secret Service has nothing better to do than check on political posters, that's a bad sign."

So, for all of you stupid Republicans, and Democrats for that matter, who voted for Bush Jr. thinking he would change things, thinking he would "make things better", thinking he was a God fearing, family loving conservative, well???????? Still think that? Just because someone says he/she is a Republican does NOT automatically make them (a God fearing, family loving conservative). Perhaps now you are finally realizing this fact. You are about to get exactly what you deserve, slavery.

-----

#### IN THE LOOP

Cheney Says He=92s Involved in Decisions, Despite Remote Location. (He's in a remote location alright, he's in Arizona with the likes of such garbage as Bush Sr., Kissenger, the heads of the 13 Illuminati families planning the future of the world, planni=

your future and mine - or so they think. They are not planning my

future.....)

Nov. 30 =97 Vice President Dick Cheney says he's fully involved in

the wartime decision-making process, despite frequently being apart from other senior presidential advisers =97 a security precaution he says will likely continue indefinitely as the war against terrorism proceeds.

"I'm in constant touch with the White House, have several video conferences a day with my own staff, with NSC [the National Security Council], or [National Security Adviser] Con=

Rice, [Secretary of State] Colin Powell, [Defense Secretary] Don Rumsfeld, and with the president," Cheney said.

In an interview with ABCNEWS' Diane Sawyer for Primetime Thursday, Cheney added that the United States is bearing down on Osama bin Laden. And he used precedents from American history to help justify a plan to t=

suspected terrorists by military tribunals.

Bearing Down on Bin Laden; Saddam Next?

With the volume of U.S. intelligence increasing, and bin Laden's possible hiding spaces decreasing, Cheney said he is fairly sure bin Laden remains in Afghanistan, possibly near his known cave hideouts at Tor= Bora.

"It's an area he's familiar with," Cheney sa=

"He operated there back during the war against the Soviets in the '80s. He's got a large number of fighters with him probably, = fairly secure personal security force."

The United States has been bombing and searching on the ground for suspected bin Laden or al Qaeda hideouts.

"He may depart for other territory, but that= not quite as easy as it would have been a fe=

months ago," Cheney said. "Anybody who contemplates providing sanctuary for bin Laden at this point has to keep in mind what happened to the Taliban when they did that."

Cheney said U.S. successes may act as a deterrent for future would-be terror plotter=

"A good offense is the best defense," he sai=

Cheney said the war on terror would likely b=

long war, but he would not comment on speculation that the United States might make Iraq a target after the refusal of its leader, Saddam Hussein, to allow renewed inspections of weapons facilities and stockpiles.

"I don't think it takes a genius to figure out that this guy continues to be a significant potential problem for the region, for the United States, for everybody with interests in the area," Cheney said. "I would not want to speculate on what the future might hold, but we do think that the appropriate thing for him to do is to comply with the U.N. Security Council resolutions, and allow those inspectors to come back in."

#### Abdel-Rahman Might Face Tribunal

Cheney called "credible" Northern Alliance claims that they have captured Ahmed Abdel-Rahman, a top al Qaeda official and son of Omar Abdel-Rahman, a blind cleric convicted in 1995 of conspiring to blow up New York landmarks.

"We don't have final, absolute confirmation on our side yet, but I think it's probably fairly credible," Cheney said. ABCNEWS later confirmed that Abdel-Rahman had indeed been captured.

Cheney said if Abdel-Rahman is indeed in custody, the United States would be interested in questioning him about al Qaeda activities and intelligence. The vice president also said Abdel-Rahman might be the type of senior al Qaeda official to be tried by an American military tribunal.

"The president will have to make a decision on each case," Cheney said. "But clearly a high-ranking al Qaeda official captured in Afghanistan, who's been involved in the organization, is exactly the type of individual that the tribunals were established for."

Despite outcries from civil libertarians, Cheney called the

tribunals a  
nature  
of the  
he  
United States  
to try  
the

"perfectly reasonable and responsible way to go," given the  
terrorist threat. He said military tribunals were used by t=  
in the Revolutionary and Mexican wars and World War II, and=  
assassins of President Lincoln after the Civil War.

y of  
exploiting  
s would  
keep

"We also need to be able to protect and preserve sources of  
intelligence," Cheney said, claiming bin Laden had a histor=  
intelligence leaks to plot his attacks, and saying tribunal=  
such intelligence secret.

"They  
are  
are."

"These people are not going to be mistreated," Cheney said.=  
going to be treated like the unlawful combatants that they =

ome  
k with  
his  
als,  
and as a

Life in Cheney=92s =91Cave=92

Cheney described his secure hideout, dubbed "the cave" by s=  
pundits, as both a fully wired command center where can wor=  
staff and via video conference with other government offici=  
location where he is able to spend the night in comfort.

"the  
each  
day, his

He added that he enjoys jokes made at his expense and about=  
cave," and that if his staff doesn't brief him on the jokes=  
daughters do. He said he particularly enjoys regular Cheney  
impersonations on NBC's Saturday Night Live.

nistan;  
I

"I loved the one where I was in the cave in Kandahar, Afgha=  
thought that was superb," Cheney said. "I enjoyed the show =  
and he's got it down. He's doing pretty well. He's not ther=  
doing well in terms of his impersonations."

mounts  
of time  
if  
Osama

Cheney said he is likely to continue to spend significant a=  
there, or at other locations away from President Bush, even=  
bin Laden gets captured or killed.

ng bin  
Laden,  
be in  
place,"  
s  
around  
ere  
before  
United  
States.

"It's entirely possible, assuming we're successful at getti=  
that the al Qaeda network, as an organization, would still =  
Cheney said. He said al Qaeda is "in some 50 or 60 countrie=  
the world. And it may be some considerable period of time h=  
we can relax and say that there's no longer a threat to the=

ake  
certain  
h could  
always  
be  
get  
ing

"The main purpose of [staying away from Bush] is again to m=  
that we don't allow ourselves into a situation where we bot=  
become a target," Cheney added. "That doesn't mean we will =  
apart, but it does mean that on those occasions when we do =  
together, we don't announce it. So it's more a matter of be=  
unpredictable."

No Safe Harbor for Holidays

noted  
the  
attacks at any  
s of a

Just as the danger to the executive branch remains, Cheney =  
American public should remain on alert for potential terror  
time =97 as much as he'd like to be able to assure American=  
carefree holiday season.

at 3  
o'clock  
to do  
everything  
ave to  
on  
around

"I'd like to be able to say to everybody that you can relax=  
next Tuesday," Cheney said. "I can't say that. We continue =  
we can to safeguard against another attack, but people do h=  
remain alert, they do have to be sensitive to what's going =  
them and use caution."

-----  
-----

Subject: It Can't Happen Here.....Or CAN IT??????

WorldNetDaily.com

Writing last April in the Washington Post, E.J. Dionne, Jr. compared  
President Bush's tax cut to a frog dropped in a pot of lukewarm water. I=  
f  
the heat is turned up gradually enough, the frog won't have a clue that i=

a few minutes, it's going to wind up on somebody's dinner plate. By the time the frog realizes he's the entr=E9e, it's too late.

Dionne's thinking about tax cuts applies double to what this administrati=on has done to our civil liberties. Only this time, it isn't the frog in the pot, but our sacred Constitutional rights. Since Sept. 11, the kinds of words that used to be coupled in the same sentence with "Third Reich," "Gulag" and "Pinochet" have actually crept into the mouths of mainstre=am talking heads =AD torture, secret trials, military tribunals and anonymou=s detentions. Hasn't anyone else noticed this?

Anybody feeling the water temperature rising? But the Bushies may have miscalculated. Maybe they turned up the temperature too high, too fast. Some in Congress are beginning to wake up and smell the coffee, and what's in the air isn't too fresh. They see a growing police state being implemented behind smiling, "Trust-Us" Ashcroft/Ridge press conferences. While people may fear a downward spiral towards brown-shirt=ed and book burnings, the truth is that an American police state may show up in a Brooks Brothers suit with an impressive law degree.

Think about it. In only two months, law enforcement agencies have been granted the authority to wiretap =AD virtually at will =AD monitor e-mail=s, detain suspects without ever having to file a charge or even identify the suspect or, for that matter, even admit they have a suspect. Lawyer-clie=nt conversations are open to inspection. Suspected terrorists can be tried, convicted and executed on the say-so of a panel of colonels without anyon=e even knowing that someone was arrested. And think of the convenience! N=O messy public appeals unless the president (the colonels' commander in chief) or the secretary of defense (their immediate boss) says so. This has nothing to do with ideology. This has to do with everybody's rights. It bothers both liberal Senator Patrick Leahy, D=ADVt., and conservative Congressman Bob Barr, R-Ga.

But it only gets worse. Not only does the Bush administration refuse to release information on more than 1,000 people they've already rounded up, it is about to take the first step in a campaign everybody swore could never happen again in America =AD the legal roundup of people based solel=y on ethnicity. Right now, the FBI has ordered that another 5,000 people of Arab descent be sought for questioning. Never mind that they are perfect=ly legal immigrants. Does anybody out there like good men's clothes? Well, the famous men's designer Joseph Abboud, as good and as loyal an American citizen as they come, has already been contacted many times by the FBI. Today it's the Arabs. What about tomorrow?

What really galls is how the Bush administration has treated the American people like children. They have not bothered to explain why the system o=f courts, juries and judges can't handle the trials of alleged terrorists. Laura W. Murphy of the American Civil Liberties Union notes that the United States government has no rival in constantly protesting t=he use of such "courts" when U.S. citizens are tried abroad. She observes that such kangaroo courts, coupled with eavesdropping on lawyer-client

conversations, "demonstrates the government's increasing willingness to circumvent the requirements of the Bill of Rights." The hypocrisy only adds to the stench. It will be interesting to see how John Ashcroft dances around these pesky questions of constitutional rights in upcoming congressional hearings.

For the moment, these new regulations won't affect you, unless you are of Middle Eastern descent. If you are, expect to be hearing soon from the Department of Justice, even if you entered the United States legally. Thanks to these new rules, we are slowly returning to the World War II internment camps for Japanese Americans.

As for the more than 1,000 people already detained since Sept. 11, members of Congress have petitioned the attorney general for more information regarding them. Did the Justice Department deliver what the people's representatives asked for? Just ask congressman John Conyers, D-Mich. "The attorney general," he says, "totally ignored my letter of Oct. 31 asking for information regarding the 1,000 plus immigrants who have been detained for undisclosed reasons since the September attacks."

Water or coffee may not be the right comparison. Maybe it's smoke. Maybe it's the smoke of the Reichstag burning.

Ellen Ratner is the White House correspondent and bureau chief for the Talk Radio News service. She is also Washington bureau chief and political editor for Talkers Magazine. In addition, Ratner is a news analyst at the Fox News Channel.

---

## THE ENEMY IS INSIDE THE GATES

A dedicated group of experienced civilian and military pilots, including combat fighter pilots and commercial airline captains, just finished a marathon 72 hours of non-stop briefings and debate over the current crisis evolving from the use of commercial aircraft as cruise missiles against the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on 11 September.

The so-called terrorist attack was in fact a superbly executed military operation against the United States, requiring the utmost professional military skill in command, communications and control. It was flawless in timing, in the choice of selected aircraft to be used as guided missiles, and in the coordinated delivery of those missiles to their pre-selected targets.

As a tactical military exercise against two significant targets (world financial center and the citadel of world strategic military planning), the attack, from a psychological impact on the American public, equaled the Japanese "surprise" attack on Pearl Harbor 7 Dec 1941.

The over-riding question If we are at war, who is the enemy?

The group determined that the enemy is within the gates, that he has infiltrated into the highest policy-making positions

at the Federal level, and has absolute control, not only of the purse strings, but of the troop build-up and deployment of our military forces, including active, reserve and National Guard units.

## PRELUDE TO PANIC

The 9-11 activity and horrific destruction of US property and lives was intentionally meant to trigger a psychological and patriotic reaction on the part of the US citizens, which is paving the way for "combined UN activity" (using the fig leaf of NATO) for striking key targets in both the Middle East/ South Asia and the Balkans. The goal continues to be ultimate destruction of all national sovereignty and establishment of a global government.

The trigger for the 9-11 activity was the imminent and unstoppable world-wide financial collapse, which can only be prevented (temporarily) by a major war, perhaps to become known as WW 111. To bring it off (one more time), martial law will probably be imposed in the United States.

In each of the major wars of the 20th century, the financial manipulators (located in the City of London and New York City) had placed the US (and much of the Western world) in a monetary expansion mode, followed by an ever-tightening vice of a gigantic credit squeeze.

We now have two ongoing and tightly controlled simultaneous events (emanating from the two symbolic targets of 9/11 1) Alan Greenspan, Fed chairman, promising to flood the market with up to \$200 billion in FRNs and to further lower interest rates, thus bringing about hyperinflation and dollar devaluation.

Much of these multi billions in largesse will be dumped into the coffers of Wall Street, Defense, bankrupt airlines, insurance companies and into the willing arms of debt-ridden third-world countries in the form of debt repudiation (forgiveness). Call it bribery, in order to get these often reluctant nations to join our coalition of "freedom fighters" in "the war against terrorism".

2) Paul Wolfowitz, deputy Defense secretary, promised that the US will launch "sustained military strikes against those behind the terrorist attacks on New York and Washington". He said that the "military retaliation would continue until the roots of terrorism are destroyed."

This bit of saber rattling was seconded by select NATO allies (especially Britain), and by our chief ally in the Middle East, Ariel Sharon, while Secretary of Defense Don Rumsfeld, with the blessings of Pres. Bush 11, is activating thousands of national guard and reservists, not only to guard the vulnerable airports, but to do fly-overs of our Nation's capital in F16s from the North Dakota Air Guard. Other National Guard units are being jockeyed into potential combat "hot spots" throughout the Middle East/South Asia and the Balkans.

## WHO IS THE ENEMY?

Following is a summary of the near-unanimous views of the

assembled military and civilian pilots concerning certain critical factors relating to the WTC/Pentagon hit of 9-11. Troubling questions arose about the alleged pilot-hijackers of the four aircraft, who were supposedly trained on Cessna aircraft over the past year at fields in Florida and Oklahoma. One General officer remarked, "I seriously question whether these novices could have located a target dead-on 200 miles removed from takeoff point...-- much less controlled the flight and mastered the intricacies of IFR (instrument flight rules) -- and all accomplished in 45 minutes."

The extremely skillful maneuvering of the three aircraft at near mach speeds, each unerringly hitting their targets, was superb. As one Air Force officer -- a veteran of over 100 sorties over North Vietnam -- explained, "Those birds (commercial airliners) either had a crack fighter pilot in the left seat, or they were being maneuvered by remote control."

## Part 2

: Another pilot warned that "we had better consider whether  
: electro-magnetic pulse or radio frequency weapons were used  
: from a command and control platform hovering over the  
: Eastern Seaboard... I'm talkin' AWACS."

: Another comment: "If there was an AWACS on station over  
: the targeted area, did it have a Global Hawk capability? I  
: mean, could it convert the commercial jets to robotic  
: flying missiles?"

: A hotly debated question: Who would be in command of such an  
: Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS)? Were they  
: Chinese -- Russians -- Saudis -- Israelis -- NATO ? All of  
: these countries possess AWACS-type aircraft. All (except  
: the Saudis) have the capability to utilize electro-magnetic  
: pulsing (EMP) to knock out on-board flight controls and  
: communications of targeted aircraft, and then, to fly them  
: by remote control.

: One of the Air Force officers explained that we had already  
: flown a robot plane the size of a Boeing 737 across the  
: Pacific to Australia -- unmanned -- from Edwards AF13 in  
: California to a successful landing on an Aussie base in  
: South Australia. It flies along a pre-programmed flight  
: path, but is "monitored" (controlled remotely) by  
: a pilot from an outside station.

: He explained that the London Economist (20 Sep 2001) published  
: comments from the former CEO of British Airways, Robert  
: Ayling, who stated that an aircraft could be commandeered  
: from the ground or air and controlled remotely in the event  
: of a hijack.

## : COMMERCIAL JETS AS GUIDED MISSILES

: An AP story, dateline Brussels - 7 Oct 01 -- "At  
: Washington's request, NATO will soon deploy surveillance  
: aircraft for anti-terrorist operations in the United States  
: in response to the attacks on New York and Washington, NATO  
: officials said Sunday, an unprecedented use of foreign  
: military forces to defend the U.S. homeland."

: The assembled group of pilots debated why we would ask for  
: foreign forces to fly AWACS over our sovereign territory

: when we have a fleet of 33 of them, of which 28 are  
: stationed in Oklahoma. The debate also centered on whether  
: such NATO surveillance aircraft were already here prior to  
: 11 September.

: Could one of them have commandeered the four airliners?

: There seems to be wide discrepancies between what the Federal  
: government is proclaiming -- and their media moguls  
: reporting -- as opposed to the calm and reasoned and  
: rational views of those men who fly the planes and defend  
: the nation against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

: This writer has been a general aviation pilot since 1946. I  
: have flown a variety of single engine prop aircraft since,  
: and installed an FAA-approved airstrip here on my farm in  
: 1980. Two local pilots periodically joined me for short  
: hops; one, a Madison County lawyer, a graduate of the Air  
: Force Academy, who flew for the Air Force before coming  
: home to practice law.

: The other, Kent Hill, who lives with his wife, Carol, on a  
: farm close to mine, is an American Airlines captain  
: assigned to the European route. He was a lifelong friend of  
: "Chic" Burlingame, They were graduates of the  
: Naval Academy and flew F-4 Phantoms in Vietnam. Both left  
: the Navy 28 years ago and joined American Airlines. Both  
: planned to retire in 2002. Chic was the captain of AA  
: flight 77, a Boeing 757, which departed Washington Dulles  
: for Los Angeles at 8:10 am on I I September, with 58  
: passengers and a crew of 6. Flight 77 crashed into the  
: Pentagon at 9:41 am.

: "We were totally trained on the old type of hijack,"  
: Capt Hill said, "where you treat the hijacker  
: cordially, punch a 4-digit code into your transponder to  
: alert ground control you're being hijacked, and then get  
: him where he wants to go, set the plane safely on the  
: ground and let them deal with it on the ground. However,  
: this is a totally new situation... Not one of the planes  
: alerted ground control that they were being hijacked."  
: How come?

: "The fact is, all the transponders were turned off on the  
: doomed flights virtually at the same time." Look at  
: their departure times -- two from Logan (Boston), one from  
: Newark, another from Dulles (Washington DC) -- all between  
: 8 am and 8:15.

: "Shortly after climb-out to flight level, their  
: transponders are de-activated.. (they are no longer a blip  
: on the radar screens). This is something that really needs  
: to be looked into. The only reason we turn them off is so  
: they don't interfere with ground systems when we  
: land."

: (Note: Transponders identify a particular aircraft in flight  
: on the radar screens of FAA flight controllers located  
: throughout the country. Various codes are punched into the  
: transponder, one displaying, "I am being  
: hijacked.")

: Although there is much talk among the various flight crews,  
: Hill says they are not privy to any of the investigations  
: into the events of I I September. "We're in the dark  
: -- very much so ... They're playing it pretty tight to the

vest."

: He is convinced none of the pilots had control of their  
: aircraft when they were flown into the World Trade Center  
: and the Pentagon. The question then becomes, who was really  
: in control?

: "Even if I had a gun at my head, I'd never fly a plane  
: into a building. I'd try to put it in anywhere -- a field  
: or a river --and I'd be scaring the hell out of them (the  
: hijackers) by flying upside down first," Hill said.

: In fact, the pilot has the best weapon in his hand when  
: threatened with imminent death by a hijacker, namely, the  
: airplane.

: Another airline pilot stated. "On hearing a major scuffle  
: in the cabin, the pilot should have inverted the aircraft  
: and the hijackers end up with broken necks."

: That none of the four pilots executed such a maneuver points  
: toward the fact that none of them had control of their  
: aircraft, but had been overridden by an outside force,  
: which was flying them by remote control.

: As an old and not so bold pilot, I became more convinced that  
: the four commercial jets were choreographed by a  
: "conductor" from a central source, namely an  
: airborne warning and control system (AWACS). They have the  
: electronic capability to engage several aircraft  
: simultaneously, knock out their on-board flight controls by  
: EMP (electro-magnetic pulsing) and assume command and  
: remote control of these targeted aircraft.

: As we consider all the options -- and enemies -- who performed  
: this act of war, whether from China, Russia, an  
: Islamic country, or from NATO, we must also consider that  
: the enemy may be within the gates.

: If so, then we are dealing with high treason.

-----=  
-----

## Bush Crime Family Heaven: The American Imperial/Nazi Era Begins

According to the Beltway sources, the FBI had prior knowledge of "a =  
major  
attack on a  
commercial target" -- at least two years before the event took place. An =  
FBI  
friend, who  
has been reassigned to an office in Virginia, told me about it. Evidently =  
the FBI  
still  
won't let him retire. He's one of the old counter-terrorist guys who work=  
ed for  
the big  
pig himself, J. Edgar. The FBI claims that they might need them sometime =  
in the  
future,  
despite the fact that all of them - his friend and about two dozen other =  
guys --  
want to

retire. So these guys get shuffled around from office to office on so-called "temporary duty assignments." There really is no "duty," however; it's just for the paperwork. The real reason these guys are not being allowed to retire is to keep them quiet, to keep control over people like him who are aware of the story of the "WTC Incident." In short, to keep an eye on the few good, honest agents left in the FBI, the few not under mind-control, the few who are not willing to go along with the "New Agenda" (New World Order, that is) of complete enslavement of the American people and total subjugation of our rights.

I told my source(s) that the FBI knew as long as two years ago that there was a major attack being planned. He said that the FBI actually recovered documents at the first World Trade Center bombing in 1993, which indicated that a future attack of a much larger magnitude was planned. The FBI just blew it off. Essentially they didn't do anything because they didn't think that any terrorist group had the ability to plan and execute such an attack. And because that is what they were ordered to do.....=

.....  
Apparently CNN and FOX had the same documents the FBI had but they couldn't release them to the public either. These documents, seized from one of bin Laden's people a few years ago in New York, and who was quietly, behind the scenes "reporting in" to his CIA controller/handler, discussed a major operation being planned. The first phase was the attack itself. The second phase would be the use of anthrax to disrupt the US postal system. The third phase was to be a major cyber-attack against the US banking system, disrupting banking and shutting down ATMs. ALL but the third phase action has been carried out. There are still two months left in this year. And the people were forewarned.

And how did CNN get the documents? (The Communist News Network...you're kidding, right?) The assumption is that one of the disgruntled FBI agents just gave them

to CNN.  
Perhaps. The White House apparently didn't even have to pressure CNN to keep quiet about it, the CIA and MI6 (yes, that's THE MI6) did the job for them. Considering Turner, and his cronies who took over the network after he stepped down have direct ties into, and relationships with (the CIA), this is not surprising. The attitude among the mainstream media is essentially that they are out of the business of exposing government corruption for fear of appearing "unpatriotic."  
Good excuse as any to be a coward and a traitor.....Even the Homeland Security bill, (the Bill that established a Government agency to scout out, prosecute and execute any one who disagrees with the Agenda, and does so publically, ESPECIALLY over the Internet) that Bush signed has been renamed the "Patriot" Homeland Security bill, thus implying that anyone who disagrees with the bill is "unpatriotic." The implacation should be clear - if you are a Patriot, then you will agree with this Bill that strips you of practically all your rights of privacy - or you are not a "Patriot". For true Patriot's, this puts them in one hell of a bind, now doesn't it? ANY true Patriot, true AMERICAN, decent citizen will NOT agree with this Bill.  
-----  
-----

#### AGAIN, The FBI - The Gestapo

Evidently the FBI was so far behind the eight ball in terms of its counter intelligence knowledge of al Qaeda that they did not believe that al Qaeda or any other middle eastern terrorist organization had the capacity to carry out such a complex attack.

Both the counter intelligence and national security divisions of the FBI knew that the CIA had been involved with these people in the past and that even more recently they had sold them sophisticated weapons and provided them with intelligence.

In fact that is what led to one of the big rifts, which forced former FBI

director Louis Freeh out of his position. The CIA wouldn't tell

the FBI what they were doing. It's the same

ame old  
n going  
's  
so  
ve that  
rry out  
ich was  
d  
d the  
lf of  
hat the  
within  
en  
oups,  
was  
has  
g  
terrorist  
ave  
nd out  
n their  
I look  
er  
y

interagency rivalry that had been  
on for a long time. Also the FBI's  
human intelligence capabilities  
(domestically and overseas) were  
poor that they were led to believe  
al Qaeda, Hamas or anybody else  
would not have the ability to carry  
this type of terrorist attack which  
planned in the documents they have  
seized. That's why they dismissed  
plan as wishful thinking on behalf  
the terrorists.

In other words, it seems that

CIA was planting disinformation to  
the FBI in order to cover itself  
because, whatever the CIA has been  
doing to help these terrorist groups  
they could always claim that it was  
"FBI incompetence."

Behind the scenes the CIA has  
made the FBI look incompetent by  
supplying the FBI with misleading  
information about the CIA's own  
intentions and the intelligence  
assessment capability of these  
groups. Knowing the FBI didn't have  
the intelligence resources to find  
the capacities of these groups on  
own, it does tend to make the FBI  
incompetent. In other words, later  
people would ask, why didn't they  
know?

rying  
to  
e  
he  
ligence  
ents  
gh  
up its  
rist  
o  
of  
e  
of  
on  
serves  
he  
eat a  
ey're  
able  
ts that  
t's  
n  
tially  
usiness  
age"  
ad  
1)

Then the FBI, instead of t=

inculcate the CIA, resorts to th=  
standard tactic by saying that t=  
reason they don't have the intel=  
resources to make better assessm=  
is because they didn't have enou=  
money.

The CIA, in order to cover=

own involvement with these terro=  
groups, then makes the FBI look  
incompetent. The FBI, in order t=  
deflect the notion that they are  
incompetent, says it's the fault=  
Congress for not giving them mor=  
money.

It's an endless deflection=

responsibility, but the deflecti=  
everybody's purpose. It covers t=  
CIA, and although the FBI has to=  
slice of humble pie, at least th=  
to blame it on Congress for not  
providing them with enough money.

The Friendly Colonel repor=

though he doesn't understand wha=  
happening somebody's doing him a=  
awful lot of favors. He is essen=  
getting back into the trucking b=  
as "Gulf Coast Shipping and Stor=  
(See Double Dealing: Over the Ro=

with  
ucks.  
ven the  
He's  
eration  
all  
ramp  
ns out  
ntly  
g  
was  
son  
to keep  
r  
e  
heir  
purposes.  
e  
it,  
dozen  
that  
ese  
ng the  
fact  
l"  
to do  
illegally  
hased  
at

Now he's got a large deal =  
Penske and Ryder to use their tr=  
He said that he's simply been gi=  
"Hazardous Materials" licenses. =  
just the titular head of this op=  
and he doesn't even know who the  
drivers are except that they're =  
Arabs. He said they're going to =  
up the movement of illicit weapo=  
of Redstone Arsenal and conseque=  
they need an increase in truckin=  
capability. He also said that it=  
obvious to him that the only rea=  
they're giving him the money is =  
his mouth shut because both Ryde=  
and Penske have entered into som=  
secret arrangement with the  
Department of Defense to allow t=  
vehicles to be used for illicit  
He added that between him and th=  
trucking and the shipping end of=  
there are probably two or three =  
guys like him, in this business.  
The Friendly Colonel knows=  
although someone is doing all th=  
"favours" for him, he's not getti=  
bigger picture and he may be in =  
getting set up to be a "wonderfu=  
scapegoat. What he's being asked=  
is illegal. He's being asked to  
transport illegally sold weapons  
systems that were illegally purc=  
the Redstone Arsenal by illegal =

foreign  
posed  
y given  
icles,  
port  
this  
s doing  
ust  
e  
nse  
6  
h - at  
ed  
which  
is  
just  
How bad  
h of  
his  
e  
for  
o  
ut on  
ified  
they  
ys like  
m  
That's  
on  
an hold

arms agents who aren't even supp=  
to be here. He has been illegall=  
transport licenses for these veh=  
which are not qualified to trans=  
material. Every step of what he'=  
is felonious.

Meanwhile his FBI pal is j=  
moping around the office. They'r=  
giving these guys unlimited expe=  
accounts. He goes over to Pier 6=  
every day for a big lobster lunc=  
taxpayer expense -- but he's bor=  
silly. He's in his mid-fifties, =  
past standard retirement, and he=  
wants to retire and go fishing. =  
is it? "If he kicked in the teet=  
Regional Supervisor tomorrow," h=  
says, "he'd probably get a medal=  
it." In other words, he can do n=  
wrong. He's still with the FBI b=  
"special assignment with no spec=  
work duty." He's being told that=  
want to keep a small group of gu=  
him on because of their long-ter=  
experience in counter-terrorism.=  
not true, he says. The real reas=  
they're keeping him is so they c=  
his pension and benefits over hi=

s head  
even  
t come  
ge of  
e is an  
s the  
s  
etency.  
ee  
't  
tor  
er  
l)  
on  
have  
. This  
is  
his  
he  
ler  
uld  
lso the  
are  
ice of  
very  
e  
political

to keep him quiet about what he knows. He says that the FBI is disorganized and demoralized and frightened that revelations might come out that it had previous knowledge of this incident. Consequently there is an atmosphere of fear that permeates the senior ranks of the FBI. This has substantially reduced their competency. Just look at CNN, he said. You see John Ashcroft every day. You see Tom Ridge every day. But you don't see Bobby Mueller, the FBI director maybe once every two weeks. (See "DoJ Cover up Artist Bobby Mueller Named FBI Chief " [www.almartinraw.com/column27.htm](http://www.almartinraw.com/column27.htm))

Mueller is being sidelined for no purpose because Mueller doesn't have any practical experience in this area. He is a guy who has consistently stuck his foot in his mouth in the past. The general assessment of Bobby Mueller around the Beltway is that he could screw up a free lunch. This is another reason why so many of the powers are being transferred to the new Office of Homeland Security.

In other news, it's become apparent that Congress, the White House and the government have abandoned any notion of fiscal responsibility or even rational judgment. Washington has effectively

vely  
ne  
spend  
can."  
ending  
eful.  
out of  
no  
ing  
s  
w to  
ent  
ity  
without  
the  
guise  
this  
ease in  
dget,  
,  
how  
ike  
that  
system  
peep  
n's

become a free-for-all in which o=  
senator gets up and says, "I can=  
a hundred billion more than you =  
These are politically popular sp=  
programs, which are totally wast=

The Congress is completely=

touch with reality. The Bush  
Administration and Congress have  
essentially gone wild. There are=  
checks anymore. They're authoriz=  
the expenditure of huge sums of  
money, which none of the agencie=  
even want or have any idea of ho=  
spend. It's a different environm=  
now. Washington sees an opportun=  
to act the way it wants to act -  
any restraints.

This is all couched under =

of fighting terrorism. How does =  
square with the \$16 billion incr=

the Department of Agriculture bu=  
an increase the Department of  
Agriculture says it doesn't need=  
doesn't want and has no idea of =  
its going to spend?

All those organizations, l=

government watchdog groups, are  
being discredited by this notion=  
they're being "unpatriotic." The=

of checks and balances has  
disappeared. There hasn't been a=

out of the ACLU, or Larry Klayma=

group Judicial Watch, or Charlie  
Smith's group about this spendin=

g.  
. They  
and  
r them  
now is  
the  
that.

Everybody is keeping quiet=  
all appear on mainstream media, =  
when they do, O'Reilly goes afte=  
for being "unpatriotic," saying =  
the time we should overlook  
government misdeeds. And that is=  
new attitude. O'Reilly even said=

pretense  
d, or  
se  
money  
edit  
e  
at's  
shut.  
he  
light

O'Reilly has given up any  
of being independent, fair minde=  
balanced. He has joined the  
pro-government media crowd becau=  
that's where the ratings and the=  
are. He purposely tries to discr=  
anyone who doesn't agree with th=  
government line. Consequently th=  
why various government watchdog  
groups are keeping their mouths =  
They know they will be cast in t=  
of being "unpatriotic."

s to  
onal  
l the  
t.  
fense  
re  
cies  
They  
n't  
pend  
o get  
ems  
you

Government spending amount=  
at least \$1.5 trillion in additi=  
spending over five years, and al=  
numbers haven't been added up ye=  
This includes everything from de=  
to security to agriculture. We a=  
literally plying government agen=  
with money that they don't want.=  
have said they don't want and do=  
even know how they're going to s=  
it. This is money that's going t=  
spent on tremendous weapons syst=  
and help defense contractors. If=  
look at all the industries that =

are  
going  
panies  
will  
re  
eaven.  
  
be a  
\$1.5  
s  
(which  
rds or  
  
ead of  
we  
\$5.5  
eeable  
near  
  
s have  
span  
lly low  
  
will  
wn  
ing a  
r this  
  
alling  
ke an  
did  
to pay  
  
ent  
esn't

to benefit - and individual comp=  
that are going to benefit - you =  
understand why the Republicans a=  
pushing it. This is Bush Cabal H=

In other words, there will=  
  
trillion increase over five year=  
  
is deficit financed) and two thi=  
more of that spending will be  
effectively wasted. The deputy h=  
the GAO has already said so, and=  
are still in an economy that is =  
trillion in debt - with no fores=  
surpluses being generated in the=  
future.

In addition, interest rate=  
  
been lowered and the Bush White  
House is going to pressure Green=  
to keep interest rates artificia=  
  
longer than they should be. This=  
create an inflationary whammy do=  
the road. We are in effect build=  
potential economics time bomb fo=  
nation.

Some people are actually c=  
  
the Clinton years -- the "Golden  
Years." Clinton did after all ma=  
effort to balance the budget. He=  
generate surpluses. He did begin=  
  
down debt. The economy grew and  
inflation was kept down. Governm=  
spending was kept in check It do=  
make any difference what you thi=

nk of  
e  
talking  
alking  
return  
to  
e digit  
  
ure,  
this  
th the  
transfers  
ghts  
n has  
tory of  
ase in  
op  
three  
t  
alth.  
the  
for  
c  
r of  
  
loss of  
  
nt.  
nding,  
ney  
o the  
of the

Clinton politically, when they'r=  
  
about the golden years they're t=  
  
about it economically -- if we r=  
  
double digit inflation and doubl=  
  
unemployment and an accumulated  
deficit out of control.

Looking at the bigger pict=  
  
unrestrained spending fits in wi=  
new anti-terrorism bill, which  
more of the American people's ri=  
back to the government, more tha=  
ever been done before in the his=  
  
the republic. This massive incre=  
spending that will benefit the t=  
  
percent of the population is jus=  
another transfer of power and we=  
All of this spending (eventually=  
American people will have to pay=  
it) lessens the people's economi=  
power. And it increases the powe=  
those who rule, who govern, who  
control, and who constitute the  
backbone of the Republican Party.

First, there is a massive =  
  
people's rights, which have been  
transferred back to the governme=  
  
Second, in this unrestrained spe=  
a huge amount of the people's mo=  
is being transferred back to  
government, and therefore back t=  
  
businesses and industries which  
represent the top three per cent=

contractors

most

can

ontrol

e media

g

e of

d.

ly

missiles,

cision

ve a

're

time

hospital

the

ting

which

en

target

sors)

y of

the

cret

igence

pment.

go

Taliban

nation's wealthiest (federal and so on) which in turn are the ardent supporters of the Republican Party. It's a transfer of both cash and money.

From Bush's War front - the mentioned that one of the bombing missions went astray and a couple schools and hospitals were bombed. The Department of Defense recently confirmed this incident. They're bombing these people twenty-four hours a day, sending in Cruise Tomahawk missiles, so-called precision guided weapons. As the Friendly Colonel points out, however, the problem is these weapons only have a 37% accuracy rate. Therefore you're forced to bomb the same targets over and over and time again.

He explained the way this was bombed. One of the jobs that Special Forces are doing is planting infra red sensors around targets which the aircraft flying above can then detect (the zone of these infra red sensors) which makes the probable accuracy of the weapon more likely. However, the Taliban are being helped by a secret contingent of elite Iraqi intelligence officers familiar with this equipment. After the US or allied commandos get in and plant these devices, the Taliban

ground  
them  
hen  
ted  
if  
their  
has  
  
s to  
n the  
n no  
ch an  
s and  
  
within  
lified,  
assistance  
will  
ays,  
n  
geriatric  
  
tuation  
is  
t  
  
it  
under  
mes  
l  
affair,  
s he

and Iraqis pull them out of the =  
then run down the street and put=  
around a hospital or a school. T=  
they'll be able to blame the Uni=  
States (the Taliban doesn't care=  
own kids are bombed) because it =  
great propaganda value.

Meanwhile the gulf between  
Congress and the people continue=  
grow. The average age of a  
congressman is 23 years more tha=  
average age of the population. I=  
other democratic country does su=  
age gap exist between legislator=  
the people.

If current trends persist,=  
seven years Congress will be qua=  
as an institution, for geriatric  
programs from the Department of  
Health and Human Services. This =  
include grants to install ramp w=  
railing in the bathrooms and eve=  
automated toilet seats for the  
crowd that inhabits Congress.

A prime example of this si=  
Henry "Never Saw an S&L He Didn'=  
Want To Rip Off" Hyde.

When finally forced to adm=  
pressure from the Chicago Sun Ti=  
that he did have an extra-marita=  
Henry Hyde stated that insofar a=  
had had that affair when he was =

48  
to  
called  
euphemistically  
anyone  
iotic."  
the  
e  
f  
ting  
add  
orism  
f those  
m  
torial  
ew  
bill,  
hite  
rial  
revitalizing  
on  
reign  
ded  
e the  
e  
of

years of age, he chalked it off =  
"youthful indiscretion."

In the passage of this so=  
anti-terrorist legislation  
named "The Patriot Act," we have  
given George Bush the power of  
Caesar. The implication is that =  
who disagrees with it is "unpatr=  
  
The American people have, in one  
fell'n swoop, given George Bush =  
power of Caesar -- control of th=  
public purse beyond the dreams o=  
avarice.

When you consider the exis=  
power of the President, then you=  
the powers of the 1995 Anti-Terr=  
Act, and the further extension o=  
powers by the 2001 Anti-Terroris=  
Act, George Bush and all his  
successors have been given dicta=  
powers.

With the passage of this n=  
we have effectively turned the W=  
House into the new American Impe=  
Palace.

George Bush is actually  
an old Nixon idea from 1972. Nix=  
was so impressed with the way fo=  
guards were dressed, which inclu=  
braided uniforms and swords and  
golden helmets, he wanted to hav=  
White House guards dressed in th=  
same manner. He was laughed out =  
the idea. Now, however George Bu=

sh  
a la  
er  
ia  
y that  
ugh to  
ose  
conced,  
the

wants to reactivate the Imperial  
uniform of the White House guard=  
Richard Nixon.

When you watch CNN, rememb=  
this. The new pro-government med=  
like CNN subscribes to the theor=  
if you broadcast a lie often eno=  
the people, whose blinders and r=  
colored glasses are securely ens=  
then eventually the lie becomes =  
truth.

Closet  
"  
Family.....

More Skeletons from the Bush Crime Family Dynasty =  
Or  
How George Bush Sr. Murdered One More "Enemy="

And speaking of DUI's in the Bush

with  
Driving  
during  
the time

Did you know that George Bush, Sr. was also charged=  
Under the Influence of Alcohol in the State of Florida, =  
he was the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency?

g  
officer died.  
. His  
wife was  
executed  
e taken  
off the

Naturally it was taken off the record. The arrestin=  
(Cue up the laugh track - murdered is the correct word)=  
going through his papers and found an affidavit he had  
regarding this scenario because he knew that it would b=  
record, and that he might be killed.

It happened outside Sarasota.

From The Above the Law Dept. --

No license? No problem.

All through the 1980s, whe=

n Jeb

Bush ran the Bush Realty out of Miami at 1390 Brickell Ave. (before he ran Bush Codina Realty in 1992-1993), he represented himself as clients, banks, insurance companies and mortgage brokers as a licensed realtor. In fact Jeb Bush is not a licensed realtor in the State of Florida nor has he ever been.

His application for a real estate license in the State of Florida was repeatedly rejected due to outstanding criminal investigations of his business activities conducted by the Florida Department of Law Enforcement."

\*\*\*

When brother Neil Bush was at Silverado Savings and Loan of Denver, he was involved in putting together the infamous Boulder [Colorado] series of Real Estate Limited Partnerships...

He was personally involved in it. He voted for Silverado to finance the deal and he helped market the deal through his Gulfstream Realty.

This is the notorious fraudulent limited partnership that was based on busted-out HUD properties, formerly belonging to the infamous Republican-connected swindler Leonard Millman. This was the different one -- the partnership was marketed to liberal Democrats in Congress as a "control" feature. It was

h  
mately  
t was  
told  
his  
orced  
es.  
ere  
ng  
ch  
cy  
blase  
ily.  
Sr.'s  
ederal  
has  
And  
ale  
ks an  
knows  
naire,  
losures,  
ver  
00  
ut him

this limited partnership in which  
Congressman Bill Alexander had  
invested \$3 million and was ultimately  
forced into bankruptcy because it was  
bogus.

Congressman Alexander was =  
that if he didn't back down from=  
Iran-Contra probe, he would be f=  
to make good on the recourse not=  
When he refused to back down, th=  
was a demand made by the financi=  
bank, Twin Cities Bank of Little  
Rock, Arkansas on the notes, whi=  
forced Alexander into a bankrupt=  
filing.

\*\*\*

The media has become quite=  
about the crimes of the Bush Fam=  
ily.

For instance, George Bush =  
last net worth filing with the F=  
Election Commission indicates he=  
a net worth of only \$76 million.=  
yet he donates \$100 million to Y=  
University, and nobody even blin=  
eye.

Why? It's apathy. Everone =  
that George is probably a billio=  
but you look at his public discl=  
in no public disclosure has he e=  
claimed to be worth more than \$1=  
million.

There's so much apathy abo=  
in the media. The media looks at  
George S., and so do the America=

atter  
time  
here  
at all  
--  
it.  
ing  
Why  
because  
The  
y  
ate. If  
the  
ting a  
ws  
legal  
lts  
interests.  
e  
s it,  
n  
nding  
ive as  
on  
risen

people and they assume that no m=  
what he's saying he's lying.

As in "Read my lips. Every=  
they move, I'm lying." And since  
everyone already assumes that, t=  
is no will to investigate.

So I don't find it unusual=  
that he keeps getting away with =

Why does the CIA keep gett=  
away with dealing in narcotics? =  
do a lot of things happen? It's =  
the public already believes it. =  
media no longer has to convince  
anyone. Therefore there isn't an=  
pressure or interest to investig=  
the people already believe that  
something happened, then what is=  
media going to do? It's like bea=  
dead horse.

Have you heard that Fox Ne=  
and CNN may actually enjoin in l=  
action to keep the election resu=  
outstanding? It's in their best  
They're frightened that tomorrow  
there may be a resolution. If th=  
Florida Supreme Court says that'=  
then the drama may be finished.  
Therefore the networks may enjo=  
themselves on some of the outsta=  
lawsuits -- to keep the thing al=  
long as possible.

Why? Because of the electi=  
uncertainty, media revenues have=

he  
transfixed  
that  
erned  
first  
is in  
the  
ven't  
done  
a lot  
of  
blem,  
--  
llar  
. Also  
the  
e the  
two  
any  
o.  
What  
about  
on the  
bout.  
If  
t,  
on  
g in

an average of 23% according to the latest statistics.

Translation: people are by the boob tube. Let's keep it that way.

\*\*\*

If anybody was really concerned about the election results, the place real concern is reflected in the markets.

It's true that equities haven't done that well but that could be for a number of reasons. If there was a real problem with the Cash DX Exchange -- the DXZ -- the debt contract of the U.S. Dollar would be falling -- and it isn't. The nearby contract of gold would be rising -- and it isn't. Those are key telltale signs.

The only thing that makes a difference is what the markets demand. That's what dictates everything. It's what the people think -- nobody cares about that.

Like the old adage -- buy the rumor, sell on the fact.

There's nothing to worry about if there was anything to worry about you would find the market buying the rumor. US Treasuries remain strong. There has been no sellin-

n any  
in US  
ains  
  
5,000  
Guard  
ain  
internal  
ith the  
  
these  
Army  
pbell,  
ban  
ning  
on,  
  
t was  
  
across  
the  
shed  
like  
feet  
in the  
at was  
omeland  
  
e were  
ossed  
en  
with a  
  
On  
this

US Treasuries, nor has there been  
of what they call "hedge buying"  
Treasuries. The Dollar Index remains  
115-116. Gold remains at 265.

"Citizen, Can I See Your ID."

What has not been explained to the  
American people is the reason why 300,000  
Army Reservists and 65,000 National Guardsmen  
have been called up. It is to maintain  
checkpoints. It has nothing to do with  
external "War on Terrorism." All of these  
people are being trained at the US Army  
School of Urban Control at Fort Campbell,  
Kentucky. CNN actually showed an urban  
training mock-up, what they're training  
and what the new Internal Security  
checkpoint is going to look like. It  
might be a bit sinister looking.

There was a barrier that went  
across the road. To the right was an elevated  
structure, elevated perhaps fifteen  
feet in the air. It had a small second story  
that was open. On it was a sign that read "Home  
Security Internal Checkpoint." There were  
sandbags and the wooden arm that crossed  
the road read "100% ID Checked." There  
was a small shed to the right of the  
small barbed wire area behind that.  
On the structure was a sign, which read, "100% ID Checked."

All citizens  
ll be  
l be  
ed to  
be  
be  
here  
will  
eld  
kit. On  
he open  
h a  
used  
ular  
with a  
t see  
their  
from  
hat's  
"to  
e who  
like the  
Wars"  
y. All  
the  
they  
can  
will be  
y  
ning on  
sure,  
"Any

not having proper identification will  
detained. All foreign nationals will  
detained. All citizens who are deemed  
acting in a suspicious manner will be  
detained." At each of these posts there  
be six armed Army or National Guard  
reservists with M-16's with full fi-  
top of the structure to the rear, there  
structure on top, there's a man with  
machine gun emplacement.

They showed the actual mockup  
for training purposes. They had new  
uniforms. They weren't in their regular  
uniforms. It's a new gray uniform with  
gray helmet and a visor so you can't  
eyes. The only thing you can see is  
their lips down because they said that  
prevent any retribution" from people  
don't like this new idea.

This uniform looked exactly like  
Imperial Storm Troopers from "Star Wars"  
except instead of white, it was gray  
helmets have little transceivers so  
communicate with each other. There are  
six guards at each internal security  
checkpoint. And there's another war  
the inside of the barbed wire enclosure

be  
shot."  
the  
spoke  
on  
eant,  
an  
tell  
that  
as  
going  
being  
mera  
and  
people."  
his  
believe  
a  
it was  
e they  
average  
y,  
drives  
band  
and  
of  
kiddies  
e  
up to  
the  
identification,  
I  
swear to  
he guy  
something

detainees attempting to escape will=

It was a yellow and red sign inside=  
detainment area.

The only person who actually =  
camera during this story was a serg=  
Army Reservist sergeant. You could =  
he completely disagreed with what w=  
on. You couldn't hear the question =  
asked, but he was looking at the ca=  
he said, "We're here to protect the  
Then he put his head down and shook=  
head, and you could tell he didn't =  
word of what he was saying -- like =  
some big frigging joke.

Then they showed the procedur=  
were using to train these guys. An =  
American car, like a Ford or a Chev=  
up and there's supposed to be a hus=  
wife in the front seat and a couple=  
in the back. So they drive up to th=  
checkpoint, and the corporal comes =  
car and says, "May I see your  
citizen."

They call everyone "citizen."=  
God, I'm not making this up. Then t=  
asks for his driver's license, then  
else and something else. Then he sa=

ys,  
"Very  
at goes  
out.  
But  
the  
ll  
citizens are

t it  
blank as  
use the  
d yet.

he one  
and  
n nest  
on  
l  
osed to

e new  
being  
d such.

t arm

hey are

ns  
salute  
ised  
with  
though

don't

nd it

good, citizen."

There's a spot on the gate th=  
across the road that they had x-ed =  
you could tell what it said because=  
sergeant alluded to it. It said, "A=  
required to present their National  
Identification Cards." But they lef=  
a black spray-painted out spot beca=  
legislation for that hasn't happene=

The big sign on the side of t=  
half story shed with the machine gu=  
top said "Homeland Security Interna=  
Checkpoint." And now we're all supp=  
say, "Hail the Republic." That's th=  
mantra. They showed a bunch of guys=  
trained at Fort Campbell, Kentucky,  
probably enlisted and reservists an=  
And they kept raising up their righ=  
saying, "Hail the Republic."

The sergeant even said that t=  
duplicating the ancient Roman Legio=  
to Caesar, using the right arm upra=  
the fist. Instead of "Hail Caesar,"=  
they say, "Hail the Republic."

This is what's coming. People=  
believe it or people don't understa=

but  
ever  
  
better  
  
rts to  
  
cessed  
for  
be  
giving  
ertime  
ing  
ill  
simply  
er  
oing to  
  
gency  
hich  
are  
en't  
been  
  
ll be  
under  
. There  
  
for  
these  
  
nes of  
rained  
in  
s, I  
can  
would  
ens. I  
think

when 80% of the people support what="security" measures are necessary.

What does it all mean? We all= start worrying when George Bush sta= play the fiddle.

Congress is supposed to be re= the rest of the year, but they will= the Administration extraordinary wa= authority -- pursuant to all remain= legislation. In other words, they w= allow the Administration to act und= pending statutes. They are simply g= transfer to the Administration emer= wartime power to act under bills, w= still pending, even though they hav= passed.

The implication is that we wi= a defacto state of martial law soon= are 100,000 military being trained = internal security checkpoints.

When they were showing the li= enlisted and reserve people being t= this camp, with M-16s in their hand= tell you I don't think any of them = hesitate to shoot at American citiz=

they're being indoctrinated. The indoctrination they're going throug=

h is  
ing  
told  
being  
given  
job is  
to  
t all  
costs."  
tween  
the old  
what we  
rnal  
travel  
. We  
are  
xtbook.  
In  
ple,  
y. You  
nal  
travel  
amped  
at  
n they  
o every  
would  
en  
marks  
ee is  
what  
ng with  
s. In  
other  
y going  
do

obvious. The enlisted people are be=  
by the drill sergeant that they are=  
extraordinary authority that "your =  
protect the security of the State a=

There is a direct parallel be=  
Soviet Union and the East Bloc and =  
are doing. We are establishing inte=  
restrictions on the American people=  
essentially following the Soviet te=  
the Soviet Communist Bloc, for exam=  
there were checkpoints in every cit=  
had to have what's called an "inter=  
visa." You had to have that visa st=  
every checkpoint in every city. The=  
checked you out at every entrance t=  
city. Then if you checked out, they=  
affix a visa stamp and charge you t=  
for it. It was a real racket.

What will be interesting to s=  
kind of a racket is going to go alo=  
these internal security check point=  
words, how much of a "toll" are the=  
to charge? They're going to have to=  
something to pay for all of this an=

d one  
of  
d be to  
"toll."  
looking  
nation.  
viously  
in  
going  
to be  
e this  
ving to  
ht to  
be  
ause  
it's so  
ses,  
the  
ty  
cards are  
be  
ther  
accommodate  
ams  
miles and  
ate  
line  
ernal  
visas  
has  
rt said  
00  
military  
ew  
He  
just

the obvious ways to pay for it woul=  
charge everyone a one or two dollar

And this is what we should be  
forward to - toll booths around the  
They're not saying this yet, but ob=  
an effort to pay for this, there's =  
some sort of a "security tax." Sinc=  
system is incredibly cumbersome (ha=  
stop every single vehicle and check  
identification) and we've been taug=  
suspicious of driver's licenses bec=  
easy to obtain false driver's licen=  
implication is that national securi=  
the only thing that will eventually=  
accepted as identification. The fur=  
implication is that in order to  
traffic (this will create traffic j=  
miles long), there would be a separ=  
for those carrying pre-approved int=  
whose allegiance to the government =  
already been checked.

The sergeant on the news repo=  
that all the people involved (100,0=  
people) are being forced to swear n=  
loyalty oaths to the United States.=

policy, that all g to have the e involved border andled the ot. They're urity." 000 rds people) ill be on is being Defense Homeland ivision of the liberals day, to eighty prepared es are mentioned the government's overall = which Bush talked about last week, = federal civilian employees are goin= to take new oaths of allegiance to = "Republic." And that extends to som= members of the military who will be in internal security.

Surprisingly enough all these= checks, you would think, would be h= directly by the military - or under= auspices of the military. They're n= under the auspices of "Homeland Sec=

What it means is that you have 100,= troops (reservists and national gua= based in the United States, which w= seconded to the Office of Homeland Security. Their ultimate jurisdic=

transferred from the Department of = to the Office of Homeland Security.

In other words, the Office of Security is gaining a militarized d= 100,000 troops.

It's finally getting some of = nervous. But it's coming. Day after= they're showing polls that seventy = percent of the American people are to approve whatever security measur= "necessary" to "fight terrorism."

\* \* \*

MILITARY FRAUD DEPT.: Accordi=  
to the Friendly Colonel, the Redsto=  
Arsenal base commander was chortlin=  
the fact that the accuracy of the m=  
strikes in Afghanistan thus far was=  
37%.  
He  
" the  
's  
exact  
ctors  
will  
ff and  
hit  
the right country."

Also, the Friendly Colonel re=  
reason the FBI didn't stop all thos=  
shipments from Huntsville Alabama (=

See  
previous story  
<http://www.almartinraw.com/column22>=

What they were doing was  
pre-positioning materiel in Pakista=  
leads one to the conclusion which h=  
already made earlier - that somebod=  
this war was going to happen, possi=  
long as six months ago (May 2001). =  
words, they didn't know specificall=  
target, but somebody thought it lik=  
"terrorist event" would happen that=  
precipitate a response by the US in  
Afghanistan.

And Where Did the "Mushrooms"=  
(See previous column  
<http://www.almartin.raw/column32.ht>=

ml)  
med  
pre-staging  
ms"  
e got  
an  
re  
e  
eing  
m  
and  
also  
re-infiltrating an  
The  
in a  
an area

re-infiltrated  
tem  
ly used

be  
made is  
st the  
ich  
would  
predisposition

land  
mine  
ey're  
the  
Cruise  
ing  
them as

They were intended for use by US ar=  
forces. In other words, they were  
supplies. That's where the "mushroo=  
(anti-personnel land mines) went. H=  
explanation how these "mushrooms" a=  
used by Special Forces when they ar=  
clearing an area. When an area is b=  
swept, they drop this weapons syste=  
behind them - to protect their rear=  
to prevent anyone else from  
are which has already been cleared.=  
specific use of these mushrooms is =  
sweep operation. When forces sweep =  
and they don't want the area  
they leave this passive weapons sys=  
behind. These weapons are principal=  
in an urban warfare environment.

The only correlation that can=  
that there would be an attack again=  
United States of sufficient size wh=  
warrant a response, hence the  
of these weapons system, like these  
mushrooms which are under intense  
international criticism by an anti=  
group in London.

The general also said that th=  
"re-ordering missiles like crazy - =  
and Tomahawk missiles." They are be=  
reordered and Rockwell is building =

fast as they can. Cruise Missiles are  
\$1.6 million each, and the Tomahawk=  
which are larger, longer range, more=  
advanced with a heavier payload, are=  
\$3.5 million each.

He estimated that about 300 missiles  
have been used so far. It's not big=  
but these missile systems are extremely=  
profitable to build. They have a simple=  
guidance system, a simple conventional=  
explosive, and the micro-processors  
necessary for the look-forward view  
is pretty simple. All they have to do  
the side of a mountain on the way to  
target=85

\* \* \*

MEDIA DEPT.: According to a reliable  
inside source, all the mainstream media  
outlets have received a confidential  
memorandum from the White House asking  
that they change the monikers they're  
"Homeland Security" to "Home Front  
Security." Apparently they believe  
"Home Front Security" sounds more  
and less sinister than "Home Land  
Security."

They have also asked the media  
show any more footage of the urban  
and internal security checkpoints and  
minimize the coverage of any "future"

troop  
The  
rnal  
ere  
will be  
pters,  
etc.  
tranquility  
the  
truth,  
to  
limit  
ments.

ause  
government  
ir

is

a lot  
of

used  
for  
ng the

of  
tional  
can  
nd up  
gether.

briefly

r in  
acher  
of

movements within the United States.=  
implication is that when these inte=  
security checkpoints get set up, th=  
a lot of movement of troops, helico=  
So as not to disturb the domestic  
of the people by telling the people=  
the government is asking the media =  
coverage of any domestic troop move=

All the media will comply bec=  
they're all dying to jump on the  
line. MSNBC has in fact changed the=  
moniker form "Homeland Security" to  
"Home Front Security." "Home Front"=  
more homey sounding and much more  
patriotic. It strikes a chord with =  
people especially older people who  
remember this being so extensively =  
security measures put in place duri=  
Second World War.

\* \* \*

EDUCATION/ INDOCTRINATION  
DEPT.: A warning of note -- Mothers=  
America beware. Last week during Na=  
Patriotism Day, sixty million Ameri=  
schoolchildren were supposed to sta=  
and say the Pledge of Allegiance to=

There was a little known and =  
shown incident on TV about a teache=  
New Jersey. He was a fifth grade te=  
who changed the words of the Pledge=

e to  
the  
e  
Office of  
was  
are  
never  
the  
the  
teacher  
oyal  
rdo, a  
a  
Timex  
tie.  
He  
ect for  
the  
he  
ie he

Allegiance from "I pledge allegianc=  
flag" to "I pledge allegiance to th=  
Homeland Security." Subsequently it=  
noted that in his opinion children =  
too young to be taught obedience to=  
State. It should also be noted that=  
in question who professed to be a l=  
Vietnam veteran with a flat top hai=  
Marine Corps. tattoo on his arm and=  
watch, and replete with a polyester=  
rather looked like some sort of rej=  
corner stool at the local VFW, when=  
explained that "he never saw a comm=  
didn't want to bomb."

-----  
-----

HISTORY OF FBI ANTI-TERRORISM SUCCESS DEPT

nd of  
HR1701,  
the  
n grant  
to  
de.  
an  
ican  
ind one  
s bank  
in  
rent

In 1995, pursuant to the first rou=  
"anti-terrorism" legislation a/k/a =  
FBI was given a special \$300 millio=  
track down terrorist assets worldwi=  
After a five-year search and =  
expenditure of \$300 million of Amer=  
taxpayers' money, they managed to f=  
bank account belonging to the Hamas  
terrorist group. It was in a saving=  
New Jersey, and it had \$17000 in it.

The Treasury Department's cur=  
pronouncements that the terrorist a=

assets  
so much  
details  
w they  
groups.  
ion, it  
46 in  
it  
nd for  
the  
u Can  
cohorts,  
, there  
th  
nearby.  
acity,  
but  
nyway,  
we  
f  
rnal  
and  
what  
nal  
se,  
Never  
red"  
r of  
le will  
be  
nst

they're freezing every day is just =  
nonsense. They're not giving us any  
about who owns these accounts or ho=  
know they're connected to terrorist  
One of the accounts they seized in  
California? Upon further investigat=  
turned out that the account with \$3=  
was in fact the coffee and donut fu=  
local Arab American Chamber of  
Commerce.

---

FREEDOM OF SPEECH DEPT

Having gone out to the \$8.99 All Yo=  
Eat Chinese Buffet with a bunch of  
we found out that unbeknownst to us=  
was an FBI agent sitting in the boo=  
He was there not in an official cap=  
just having dinner with his wife. A=  
were talking about the new Office o=  
Homeland Security and what the inte=  
checkpoints were going to look like=  
the new parameters of our new Natio=  
Identity cards will be and, of cour=  
referencing George Bush as George "=  
Saw a Document He Didn't Want to Sh=  
Bush. And we talked about the numbe=  
civil rights that the American peop=  
giving up in this new campaign agai=  
terrorism.

FBI  
agent  
r gums  
ow I'll

ch

on the  
his 15%

y're  
afraid

ity  
checks

t with

"Hey,

he  
said,

ent

ower

LAW

lished  
and they

e shown

ow

y

how

and  
you're

e  
sufficient.

When we got up to leave, the =  
said to me, "Hey pal, best flap you=  
while you can because a year from n=  
have the power to arrest you for su=  
seditious talk."

He was wearing his FBI badge =  
inside to ensure that he would get =  
discount at the restaurant.

My pals are all older and the=  
that they'll get their Social Secur=  
taken away from them for hanging ou=  
me. Then I told the FBI agent that,=  
we're just speaking the truth." And=  
"Like I said, seditious talk."

So remember - the new governm=  
mantra is "Speaking the truth about  
government misdeeds and abuses of p=  
equals sedition."

-----  
AS SEEN ON TV DEPT - A TRIAL RUN OF MARTIAL =

The new checkpoints have been estab=  
look just like the mockups that wer=  
on TV. There's a large red and yell=  
placard that says "Homeland Securit=  
Internal Checkpoint." You have to s=  
your driver's license to go through=  
told that soon even that will not b=

There was a company of National Gua=

rd  
they had  
their  
, so  
you  
true.  
We  
ss you  
as  
ient  
main a  
le is  
? In  
y, the  
ts and  
notion  
r  
as been  
Office  
ber  
h, he  
will  
will  
y  
ng is  
"sacrifices"  
e. And  
civil

setting up an ancillary facility. They  
new gray helmets with the visors on  
couldn't see their eyes. And it is  
went through the checkpoint.

And yes, they really do address  
"Citizen."

From the United States of  
America to the National  
Security States of America

Are we to remain a nation con-  
to our politicians? Are we to re-  
nation that, according to Bob Do-  
"The Land of the Provincial and  
Home of the Na-Thank God"  
the rush for political expedienc-  
first two things that are always  
sacrificed are the hard-won righ-  
liberties of the people and any  
of fiscal accountability by their  
government.

It's official. Tom Ridge has  
sworn in as the director of the  
of Homeland Security, as of Octo-  
8, 2001. In his acceptance speec-  
said, "Although some sacrifices  
have to be made, the essential  
freedoms of the American people  
be protected." And this is a ver-  
sinister message. What he's sayi-  
that there obviously will be  
in the civil rights of the peopl-  
then he's saying the "essential"

maintained.

s  
tainly  
rmine  
t was  
ng.  
be  
he  
il."

e  
ers

t since

ght  
be  
hat  
al,  
cial,  
and

er as

this  
nd  
brother,  
y,  
gency's

e

rights of the people will be

But who determines what the word  
"essential" means? Who determine=  
what "rights" are essential? Cer=  
the people are not going to dete=  
that. And Ridge didn't say who i=  
who would be doing the determini=

Then Bush spoke for a few more  
minutes and said that who would =  
doing the determining would be t=

"Supreme National Security Council"

It will exist above the Homeland  
Security Directorate, and will be

chaired by George Bush, various  
cabinet members and "certain oth=

who have had long-term political  
allegiances to my father."

What they're saying is tha=

this is a super-agency, which is  
immune from congressional oversi=  
or judicial review, there has to=  
some regulatory body above it. T=  
will make this Council extra-leg=  
extra-constitutional, extra-judi=

extra-legislative. And it's even  
extra-executive. Bush then is  
essentially assuming supreme pow=

Chairman of the Supreme National  
Security Council.

It's becoming clear how  
extraordinary the authority that=  
new agency, the Office of Homela=  
Security, along with its little  
the Office of Cyberspace Securit=  
really has. It means that this a=

authority effectively guts the  
Whistleblower Act of 1986 and th=

subsequent Whistleblower Protect=  
Act of 1991.

Government whistleblowers =  
no longer afforded the same meas=  
of protection that they were bef=  
Whistleblowers could actually go=  
court and request federal protec=  
from US Marshals. They can't do =  
anymore.

Also the creation of this =  
with its vast new powers effecti=  
guts the Freedom of Information =  
Since this agency is operating u=  
essentially National Security la=  
amended 1949-1950, and not regul=  
Title Code 18 law, it can classi=  
its operations and documents. It=  
be immune from any public reques=  
for information. Virtually all i=  
is classified. It does not have =  
any public accounting for the mo=  
spends - not even to the General  
Accounting Office. This is part =  
power it will have as a super  
extra-legal extra-constitutional=  
The only submission to GAO that =  
required will be total receipts =  
expenditures -- what all agencie=  
to give the GAO even under class=  
spending, but they don't have to=  
that spending down at all.

Furthermore (they are prep=

there  
"first  
heir  
ve  
will be  
iven  
saying  
upreme  
ecided  
ility  
will  
urt in  
only  
oes so  
Even  
ln  
ere  
ou  
h this  
ex  
us, but  
posed.  
le for  
ed.  
ple  
eas  
coln  
when

the public for this), Bush knows=  
will be many Supreme Court  
challenges when we are past this=  
  
blush of patriotism." When the  
American people have taken off t=  
rose-colored glasses and only ha=  
their normal blinders on, there =  
  
many proceedings in the Supreme  
Court over the authority being g=  
this agency. That's why Bush is =  
that this ruling council, this S=  
National Security Council, has d=  
that its only judicial accountab=  
  
be with the National Security Co=  
Washington DC, a court which the  
administration controls.

What does this do? It not =  
suspends habeas corpus, but it d=  
on a virtually unlimited basis. =  
during the Civil War, when Linco=  
suspended habeas corpus, there w=  
still some rules. For example, y=  
could not hold somebody for more  
than 90 days without charge. Wit=  
new agency, not only do they act=  
post facto vis-a-vis habeas corp=  
  
there aren't any limits being im=  
They could literally detain peop=  
years - for as long as they want=  
There is no limitation. When peo=  
talk about the suspension of hab=  
corpus, they talk about when Lin=  
did it during the Civil War, or =  
Franklin Roosevelt did it on a l=

basis during the Second World Wa=

Ridge has already said tha=  
have the authority to hold peopl=  
indefinitely. In wartime suspens=  
habeas corpus, there are still r=  
This is not a simple suspension =  
habeas corpus, but it is in effe=  
elimination of it. The power is =  
to the Office of Homeland Securi=  
default because it is immune fro=  
judicial review.

Likewise, there is a compl=  
suspension of Fourth Amendment  
privilege of unwarranted search =  
seizure. For the first time ever=  
agency is given the power to sei=  
assets without judicial proceedi=  
review. In other words, the peop=  
whose assets are seized have no  
recourse. They are being given n=  
recourses under the law. This ag=  
doesn't have to claim anything. =  
they are immune from judicial re=  
they don't even have to come up =  
a reason. All they have to say i=  
"pursuant to the security of the=  
we believe these assets may be u=  
by those who would represent a t=  
to the security of the State or =  
domestic tranquility of the peop=

Technically the assets of =

d  
to the  
under  
truth  
be  
deemed  
of the  
y of  
the  
't tell  
tranquility  
e new  
r  
t  
ation.  
e  
interrogation,  
l  
litical  
o,  
in an  
the  
er  
urity  
mally

person or news agency which would  
attempt to disseminate the truth=  
  
people could be seized --- since=  
the National Security Act, the t=  
about government operations can =  
withheld from the people if the  
dissemination of said truths is =  
to be injurious to the security =  
State or the domestic tranquilit=  
  
people.

In other words, if you don=  
  
the truth to the people, their  
will be assured.

Detainees or targets of th=  
State Security bureau (Office of  
Homeland Security) will no longe=  
have the right of Fifth Amendmen=  
protection against self-incrimin=

In other words, they can b=  
compelled to talk by "any means  
necessary," i.e., torture,  
etc. Section 409C of the Nationa=  
Security Act, pursuant to the po=  
  
and state security acts thereunt=  
states that a person whom the  
government believes is involved =  
act contrary to the security of =  
State can be compelled by whatev=  
measures necessary to reveal  
information.

Furthermore this State Sec=  
agency will be given the old FBI  
power under the 1942 Seditious  
Publication Act. This power, for=

s done  
sed  
t will  
ity. It  
y to  
label  
to  
rint  
nited  
93,  
Acts  
the  
ed.  
tion  
cularly  
ew  
super  
to  
prevent  
t their  
eing  
In  
on can  
ented.  
rnment

given to the FBI in the past, wa=  
away with in 1972, when J. Edgar  
Hoover died -- because he so abu=  
this power. The power of this Ac=  
be given to this new State Secur=  
will give this agency the abilit=  
publications as "seditious" and =  
prevent their publication and  
transmission thereunto by both p=  
and electronic means.

Previously there was the U=  
States Title Code Statutes 792/7=  
otherwise known as the "Sedition=  
of 1798," which came right after=  
Logan Amendments of 1794. Since  
the times of George Washington,  
"sedition acts" have not been us=  
And now we are talking about the  
revival of the Seditious Publica=  
Acts of 1942, which I find parti=  
sinister, because it gives our n=  
State Security agency the power =  
label publications seditious to =  
their transmission and to preven=  
printing. That means they can be  
prevented from being mailed or b=  
disseminated electronically or  
otherwise, a power which will  
undoubtedly be used by the new  
Office of Cyber Space Security. =  
fact, even the truth regarding  
government activities or operati=  
be deemed seditious and its  
dissemination to the public prev=

In the past, when the gove=

e's  
1968  
BI  
the  
d  
red  
ious"  
and  
t to  
lutely  
osition  
ate  
that  
to  
in the  
're  
s to  
the  
o  
ets of  
ts ex  
post  
y're  
he word  
d  
Of  
all  
mitted  
to  
ct"

went on a tear to deny the peopl=  
rights, even Life Magazine from =  
to 1972 was formally declared a  
"seditious publication" by the F=  
because of its photo expose' of =  
horrors of Vietnam. They were  
showing a lot of dead and twiste=  
American bodies. The FBI conside=  
this "anti-patriotic" and "sedit=  
because it was fomenting unrest =  
thus aiding those who didn't wan=  
see US involvement in the war.

So far there has been abso=  
no congressional or judicial opp=  
to this. Public opinion polls st=  
the American people are willing =  
sacrifice whatever is necessary =  
so-called "New War Against  
Terrorism." Of course, what they=  
not saying is that the Bush  
Administration very craftily say=  
people that we need this power t=  
detain terrorists, to freeze ass=  
terrorists, and to hold terroris=  
facto of habeas corpus. What the=  
not seeing is that in the actual  
authorization bills, obviously t=  
"terrorist" is not used. The wor=  
"suspect" or "detainee" is used.=  
course, it's very doubtful that =  
suspects or detainees will be li=  
those who wear turbans. A "suspe=  
or a "detainee" can mean anythin=

g.  
be  
ed  
the  
vice  
rge  
Bush  
not  
"  
at he  
currently  
t to  
the  
illion  
The  
es in  
in  
ing  
The  
s money  
d  
has  
dollar  
ul  
s of  
sive

This means that there will be unlimited and virtually unfettered electronic surveillance and eavesdropping. This will include the unfettered ability to surveil on American people and to intercept communications. The only lip service paid to this is that finally George made the comment that " we will not diminish the hard-won rights and liberties of the American people which, of course is precisely what is setting about doing.

If all bills and measures currently proposed pass, the financial cost to the American people (an increase in federal spending) will be \$343 billion over the next three-year period. The airline bailout measure. Increases in direct foreign grants. Increase in rescheduling Russian debt again. Enormous increases in domestic building programs.

And where is the money coming from? There are no "surpluses." "surpluses" are gone. All of this will be 100% deficit financed and because the Bush Administration has abandoned its traditional strong dollar policy, this will build a powerful inflationary whammy into our economy. We will feel the effect of this down the road.

These two measures - a mas-

ong  
with  
Y,  
produce  
few  
on  
bills  
s  
s is  
n  
cking  
little  
e  
liberal  
get  
sh of  
band  
civil  
rry's  
bill  
curity.  
If  
he very  
c  
ave  
iracy  
oice in  
ated.  
ed

increase in deficit financing al=  
abandoning a strong dollar polic=  
which contains inflation - will =  
a huge inflationary wallop in a =  
years.

There are many appropriati=  
now in Congress, and everybody i=  
tacking on something because thi=  
pork-barrel heaven. Because the  
public doesn't care, everybody i=  
Congress and their brother is ta=  
on an extra \$10 million for some=  
pet project.

Note the foolishness of th=  
Democrats, who, in an effort to =  
brownie points in the "first blu=  
patriotism," are jumping on the =  
wagon of restricting Americans' =  
liberties - a la Senator John Ke=  
for interstate transportation se=  
that bill passes, we will, for t=  
first time in this country, have  
interstate checkpoints for publi=  
transportation. We didn't even h=  
that during the war.

There may be many a "consp=  
theorist" that has been a lone v=  
the wilderness who may be vindic=  
Although it has not been announc=  
yet, a further diminishment of

ar  
sh  
far yet  
killer  
g as  
and  
as a  
ill be  
er  
ip of  
he "Old  
the  
%  
itiated  
it is  
at has  
can  
ctly  
rt you  
ou  
en  
the  
thy,  
ions,  
yway  
collapse

Americans' rights to keep and be=  
arms is certainly coming. The Bu=  
Administration hasn't gone that =  
because it would virtually be a =  
for them to say that now. As lon=  
Bush has an 80% approval rating =  
as long as 80% of the American  
people approve these so-called  
"security" measures, Bush, who h=  
large gun-owning constituency, w=  
able to get away with it - furth=  
restrictions on civilian ownersh=  
firearms.

There's something called t=  
80-80." If you're a president of=  
United States and you have an 80=  
approval rating, and you have in=  
a policy under a crisis (even if=  
your administration which has  
maintained the level of fear) th=  
an 80% approval rating, then you=  
propose legislation that is dire=  
contrary to the belief of your  
constituency and yet it won't hu=  
politically - or it won't hurt y=  
enough to make any difference wh=  
you run for reelection.

A historical precedent was=  
time when Nixon imposed wage and  
price controls in 1971. Nixon's  
constituency, primarily the weal=  
Big Business, and major corporat=  
were vehemently opposed to price  
controls, yet he imposed them an=  
under the "fight to contain the

closed  
up  
l and  
ed. The  
eak  
used  
ate  
en the  
nge in  
e  
n  
to  
Cards?  
d to  
d,  
y?  
n  
t  
ent  
aying  
cigarettes,  
ian  
t it --  
arm"?  
al  
d over

of the American dollar" after he=  
the gold window. Then they came =  
with the policy of dramatically  
increasing the price of crude oi=  
the US dollar just fell out of b=  
1971 dollar crisis reached its p=  
when the French central bank ref=  
to accept US dollars. If you cre=  
enough of a crisis situation, ev=  
people who will be hurt by a cha=  
the law will support it.

Now we need to ask -- do w=  
want to travel down the "Canadia=  
Road"? Are we so certain we want=  
support National Identification =  
Are we so certain that, like our  
Canadian cousins, we are prepare=  
become a homogenized, pasteurize=  
wimpified, and neuterized societ=

Do we want to become like  
Canada, the original home of  
sado-masochism, where the clario=  
call of the neutered and impoten=  
Canadian people to their governm=  
is -- "Whip me. Beat me. Tax me  
some more. Because I just love p=  
five dollars for a package of  
or \$68 for a \$28 bottle of Canad=  
Club whiskey. And while you're a=  
take away my right to own a fire=

If we, as the quintessenti=  
American couch potatoes with our  
rose-colored glasses, eyes glaze=  
from hour after hour of mind-num=

bing  
the  
lets,  
st  
of our  
posed  
o pass  
--  
sfer of  
American  
the  
we have  
have  
ights  
ns?  
e  
we  
will  
eep our  
rights  
t  
peril=85

sitcoms, whose only awareness of=  
news comes from compressed sound  
bites and encapsulated print bul=  
succumb to this, then we will mo=  
certainly find ourselves robbed =  
hard won rights and liberties.

All of the legislation pro=  
since September 12 -- if it is t=  
will constitute the largest tran=  
the rights and liberties of the  
people back to the government in=  
history of the Republic.

What of all the wars that =  
fought -- the millions of us who=  
shed our blood to maintain our r=  
and liberties as American citize=

What do we say - that thes=  
sacrifices were in vain?

For that is precisely what=  
be saying -- if we continue to k=  
heads stuck in the sand and say  
nothing in this hour of when our=  
and liberties are in the greates=

---

All Fall Down - The Politics Of Terror And Mass Persuasion

"Nothing would be what it is. Because everything would be what it isn't.  
And contrary-wise, what it is, it wouldn't be. And what it wouldn't be, i=  
t  
would. You see?" -- Alice in Wonderland

WHAT NOW?

Rightly or wrongly, Alice had it right. Or, as that great Yogi named Berra once said, "When you come to a fork in the road, take it."

Confuse people long enough (about 25 seconds for the average TV viewer) - and they will click channels, tune into less arduous distractions, and reset their brains to "snooz" control.

It's a time-tested formula. Everyone who runs a scam for personal, political or financial gain relies on their audience's fractured attention to get away with story lines that seem absolutely compelling in the heat of some manufactured moment - but collapse into complete nonsense if we run the tape back and view it frame-by-frame.

Take the events Sept. 11, 2001. Absolutely riveting video, relentlessly repeated. Authoritative news anchors looking dazed and grim, throwing out official statements like lifelines to millions of dazed and desperate viewers drowning in shock and disorientation.

" It couldn't be real, but it had to be true".

\* \* \*

So we bought it. Or most of it anyway. We didn't ask why intelligence agencies who couldn't catch a whiff of the most complex "hit" since the invasion of Normandy knew the names of 19 cremated hijackers and their renal-challenged ringleader within 48 hours of attacks that killed 50,000, no 25,000, no 6,000, no 2,500 people..

We didn't ask how frightened young men who couldn't fly Cessnas could navigate and steer heavy jets into their targets. Or why none of their names turned up on the passenger lists of the four airplanes they were supposed to have commandeered. (Total number of Middle Easterners among 257 passengers and crew: zero.)

We didn't ask what the United and American pilots (three of them military veterans) were doing while men armed with quarter-inch knives demanded death to fellow Americans, why their cockpit conversations were never released, why eight indestructible "black boxes" holding the keys to so many -questions were rendered "inoperable" while hijackers' paper passports escaped explosions and fire unscathed.

We didn't ask why a plane supposedly driven by its passengers into two separate crash sites in the Pennsylvania countryside came apart in mid-air. Or why the president of the United States remained more interested in the story of a pet goat than reports of airliners crashing into Manhattan skyscrapers and the Pentagon.

We didn't ask why U.S. forces were poised to attack across the Tajikistan border into Afghanistan in an assault announced last June - but could not scramble a single fighter to defend the U.S. capitol before three airlines hit their targets on a sunny morning in September.

We didn't ask why the CIA met with an ailing Osama bin Laden in an American hospital as the biggest British battle fleet since the Falklands sailed for waters off Afghanistan, why Washington gave the Taliban \$43 millions in May, or why the FBI and Attorney General of the United States repeatedly

ignored warnings and blocked investigations into the impending attacks.

We didn't ask what the CIA was doing in the upper echelons of Big Banks that profited hugely from "short-selling" United and American Airlines stocks in the final days before someone dialed 9.11.

We didn't ask why the head of the WTC arson investigation told reporters there were "explosive devices" in the building, why so few survivors were burned, or why New York firefighters were dragged away from Ground Zero just when the site was becoming safe and they were getting close to the bottom of things.

\* \* \*

"We did not ask because we were not told what had really happened."

Like good Germans in Hitler's heyday, we looked the other way when more than 1,100 not-quite-white people bearing Middle Eastern names were thrown into U.S. prisons, interrogated, abused, held without notifying their families or lawyers - without ever being charged with terrorist acts. We were sure it couldn't happen to us.

Anthrax held us enthralled. Instead of asking what a "weaponized" strain of a decades old "Made In The USA" bacteria was doing in silent letter bombs, we clamored for risky antidotes and even more dangerous vaccines without stopping to consider that anthrax is not contagious, easily treated, rarely fatal - and that bee stings pose a far more serious threat to most Americans.

Like frightened Germans after the Reichstag fire, we demanded that authorities "do something". They did. A Constitution generations of Americans had given their lives to defend was suspended. Laws were quickly passed permitting surveillance, assets seizure, arrest and detention of "suspects" - without formal charges or judicial oversight. Evidence will be sealed. Special tribunals will hear these cases. Forcible quarantine and inoculations are next.

A president who told Americans the day he "took" office - "If this were a dictatorship, it'd be a heck of a lot easier, just so long as I'm the dictator" - appointed himself supreme ruler of a his new Supreme National Security Council. A hundred thousand troops were called up for "internal security". And we said thank God, not realizing that soon perhaps we could be busted for that, too.

\* \* \*

Everyone knows the score by now.

FEMA is only for hurricanes, the Florida recount can wait, Timothy McVeigh blew up a big building with the same sort of fertilizer used to cover up his cohorts, Osama bin Laden is an ungrateful madman just like Saddam and Noriega and all the other thugs we've hugged, Bush's "War on Drugs" is just as urgent and honest as his "War on Terrorism". And kids as precious as our own being blown up in Afghanistan should just get out of the way.

We have to get bin Laden. Or maybe we don't. It's no longer clear. For

sure, we have to topple the Taliban. What they're doing to women must be stopped. But now our leaders say maybe it would be okay if they rule the southern half of the country and let us take the rest where the pipelines have to go through.

Pakistan is our ally. They are sending troops to fight on the side of the Taliban. They have nukes. The general who heads their intelligence service sent \$100,000 to a fanatic the FBI says led the suicide planes against Manhattan. But it's okay now. The FBI complained and the general has resigned.

\* \* \*

Already the vultures are gathering.

On Oct. 10, 2001 U.S. Ambassador Wendy Chamberlain called on the Pakistani oil minister to get moving on the stalled Unocal pipeline from Turkmenistan across Afghanistan to a Pakistani port. Selling oil and gas to China is back on the table she says, "in view of recent geopolitical developments."

In the context of appeasing China, Chamberlain is an interesting name.

In the context of Sept. 11, China's ascension to the WTO before the WTC's dust had settled seemed almost scripted. Like some kind of quid pro quo - you give us oil and a seat on the organization divvying up the world, we do something for you.

What could that be? At least 15,000 Chinese Muslim troops have been sent to the defense of the Taliban.

Some people think President Bush II's second modern "crusade" is a contest between Allah and Christ. They are dangerously wrong. This war is being fought to reinstate the real "god" - gold, oil and drugs.

You and I can switch to bicycles or electric cars. But militaries cannot convert to battery-operated battleships or B-52s. The hi-tech allied armed forces needed to keep a lid on people being ripped off - which includes just about everyone on the planet - is running out of oil. The world's biggest remaining oil reserves are in the Caspian basin. A 20 billion barrel transfusion must begin flowing through 48-inch arteries just as soon as they can be laid across Afghanistan - and injected into the industrialized veins of increasingly desperate oil addicts.

Forget global warming, catastrophic climate change, the oppressed women of Afghanistan, the starving millions shivering with the onset of winter, and millions more moderate Muslims who are beginning to believe that Osama bin Laden is right. We're talking serious money here: \$3 to \$5 trillion worth of oil and natural gas, and hundreds of billions more in that other addiction supplied by a country called Afghanistan.

An American administration intimately linked to the international drug trade and the banks that launder its dirty money has been beside itself since the Taliban declared poppy-growing against Shariah Islamic law. Maybe

the mullahs were miffed at the CIA for cranking up Afghanistan's heroin production to finance Osama bin Laden.

Their decree was issued 19 months ago. The fields are fallow; 60% of U.S. heroin imports have been shut off. Junkies are having withdrawals almost as bad as the White House, where some \$250 billion a year in illicit drug money waters Wall Street, Big Banks, and various Bushes.

On the scale of national budgets, the only usable amounts of cash are in the drug trade. Everything else is a digital dream. If the drugs stop flowing, the world economy will instantly collapse.

The "shadow" administration hopes Columbia can pick up the slack. But a few dedicated drug enforcement officials haven't gotten the word. They keep cracking down on drug lords like Lehder linked to people with names like Cheney and Bush.

All the details are in the lawsuits brought by a former Green Beret, a Contra drugs-for-arms dealer and smugglers gone straight.

But the Mainstream Media ignores the news.

\* \* \*

It's imperative that the Taliban get turfed and fresh poppies planted. Don't get confused. These aren't the poppies for Remembrance Day. Though in a way they are. Americans have been conned into wars for oil and drug profits beyond their knowledge or imagination since the U.S. Navy tracked the Japanese carriers steaming toward Pearl Harbor, the Maddox fought phantom Viet Cong gunboats in the Gulf of Tonkin, and Iraqi soldiers ignored the incubator babies in Kuwait.

The documentation is voluminous. Much it comes from government sources. Does anyone really believe the puppeteers behind the Sept. 11 attackers do not have a similar agenda?

You decide. Search the Internet. Check the references in the back of this book. Cultivate independent news sources. Evaluate. Read the evidence presented in All Fall Down: The Politics of Terror and Mass Persuasion. See if it resonates.

Ask yourself: "Who benefits? Who gains?"

"Well," says D. Icke, "The Illuminati want a world government and army, a world currency and centralized global financial dictatorship and control. They want micro-chipped people and a society based on constant surveillance of all kinds at all times. And they want a frightened, docile, subservient, people who give their power away to the "authorities" who can save them from what they have been manipulated to fear." But he is secret CFR.....=

.....  
so what would he know?

That pretty well nails it down.

-----  
-----

"Imagine a state in which you must register your name and address with the authorities, just so they can find you in case you break the law. In an age when bills vaunting protections for privacy abound, and when surveys of consumers rank privacy as a top concern, could that happen here? It is already happening. When we rely on the federal government to solve our problems, we invite it to intrude upon our privacy. We are asking Big Brother to come in and make himself at home."

"How Big Brother Began," Solveig Singleton, Cato Institute

Changes in technology have left a nation confused about how the modern national ID system is implemented. Visions of passports with stamped pages need to be replaced with the modern reality of the computer age. Centrally located file cabinets filled with hand written cards have been replaced by interconnected databases in a huge distributed system.

It has long since been understood that safeguarding our freedom requires limiting the government's access to personal information. Where a legitimate purpose is served, government agencies have been allowed to accumulate limited information for specific purposes. Over the past decade a dramatic shift has taken place. The government has developed the ability to accumulate the maximum amount of information and provided central access to an army of low level bureaucrats. All signs indicate that this is just a beginning.

During the eight years of the Clinton administration, the federal government spent approximately four billion dollars developing a national database system for keeping track of intimate details of the lives of all Americans. Funding, and in fact the project itself was never held up for close public scrutiny. Most of the people reading this article have never heard of the project. Of those who have, many probably believe it was either shut down for lack of public support, or limited in purpose.

In order to understand the potential of such a system it is worthwhile to consider its cost. Four billion dollars is a huge amount of money to spend on development of a computer system. It could buy more than sixty million hours (thirty thousand years) of engineering consulting time. It is enough to pay 130,000 people an average income for one year. It is more than enough to buy a million modern desktop computers; each one powerful enough to manage a database containing information about every man, woman, and child in the United States, and then some.

Four billion dollars is a lot of money. It would buy almost ninety B-2 stealth bombers. It is enough to pay for about forty thousand average homes. It is enough to send about one hundred thousand students to college for one year or buy hot lunches for every elementary school child in the United States for five hundred years.

As awesome as the price tag is, the excuses for its existence have been

poor. The premier reason it was built, according to most official reports  
,  
is to track child support payments and people who are supposed to make  
them. But state and county governments, already armed with their own  
computers resisted. Propaganda campaigns exaggerated claims of non-paymen=  
t  
to the level of a national emergency, but were countered with real data  
from the national census and other research showing that fully employed  
fathers pay well. Fathers of children supported by welfare are often poor=  
,  
unskilled, too sick to work, in jail, unknown, or dead. Another database  
system does nothing to reduce poverty.

The database would be used to catch illegal aliens. This was a short-live=  
d  
excuse. One only needed to point out that illegal aliens would probably be  
e  
the only people not registered.

As weak as the justification is, the child support excuse still had the  
necessary characteristics. The government wanted to look into every  
important detail of a person's life. Laws were passed to require financia=  
l  
institutions to provide detailed information on transactions. Systems wer=  
e  
integrated so that information obtained from all government sources would  
be available in one search, and so that businesses and other private  
organizations could contribute and access information. "Deadbeat dad"  
propaganda was intense. As long as people could believe that fathers do n=  
ot  
deserve fundamental human rights, they could accept the logic of  
unconstitutional privacy infringements.

States were initially asked to pay half the cost. The problem that states  
were not interested was overcome by a creative funding strategy. The  
federal government paid the cost of developing the system and added  
incentive payments of more than one billion dollars per year to encourage  
states to use it. With the inclusion of this funding, every politician,  
bureaucrat, judge, and prosecutor instantly became a "deadbeat dad" hunte=  
r.  
The combined state / federal system now employs more than fifty thousand  
people nationwide.

Those in Congress who promoted the system promised repeatedly that it wou=  
ld  
only be used to track child support payments and people who are supposed =  
to  
pay. But as soon as the system could function, that cover was blown. The  
database became known as the "National Directory of New Hires." The name  
reflected the first strategy for registering people. Rather than  
registering child support debtors, everyone taking a new job would be  
registered. This strategy eventually shifted to registration of everyone  
with a job, a social security number, a driver's license, a bank account,=  
a  
telephone; anyone for which there is a source of information. You can be  
located whatever you do, and the government will know what you do.

The Bush administration does not appear to be set to improve the record.  
Amidst a flurry of a  
<http://www.cato.org/current/terrorism/index.html>>anti-terrorism  
legislation</a>, administration officials have issued several denials tha=  
t  
a national ID system is even contemplated. We already have a modern  
computerized system in place that is far more effective than any  
identification and tracking system the Nazis or the Soviet Communists eve=  
r

had. Common sense suggests that possession of such an Orwellian tool has not escaped their notice.

The primary contractor for the database system was Andersen Consulting. The company broke from international financial services consulting firm Arthur Andersen, Andersen Worldwide this year and was renamed Accenture Ltd. Accenture is based in Bermuda, a well-known offshore tax and privacy haven.

-----  
-----

## U.S. steps up purchase of Global Hawk Spy Planes

WASHINGTON — The U.S. Department of Defense has launched five new weapon acquisition programs since the Sept. 11 terror attacks, including a \$5.5 billion program to speed up purchases of Global Hawk unmanned spy planes.

According to a quarterly Pentagon summary of new and current acquisition programs called the "selected acquisition report," the DOD launched plans prior to the end of the Sept. 30 reporting period to buy an unspecified number of the spy planes. The Pentagon announced in November that Global Hawk had been deployed to southern Asia to help provide new reconnaissance and surveillance capabilities over Afghanistan.

Global Hawk is a high-altitude unmanned reconnaissance aircraft capable of flying 13,500 nautical miles and initially carrying a 2,000-pound payload. It is designed to loiter over targets for extended periods, providing field commanders with high-resolution, near-real-time imagery of large areas.

The spy plane was initially designed to carry synthetic aperture radar and electro-optical/infrared sensor payloads.

The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency has awarded a research contract to Lockheed Martin Corp. to develop a foliage-penetrating radar that could be applied to

the aircraft. Global Hawk is being built by Northrop Grumman Corp.'s Ryan Aeronautical Center (San Diego).

Other new acquisition programs listed in the Pentagon report include a radar upgrade for Navy F/A-18 E/F fighter planes. Called the "active electronically scanned array," the DOD estimated the initial cost of the upgrade program to be nearly \$2.4 billion.

A communications program launched during the period is intended to meet the military's growing need for bandwidth as it attempts to transmit sensor and targeting data in near-

real-time. Hence, the military has launched a wideband communications satellite system called "Wideband Gapfiller"







Inside The Beltway

<br>

<br>

=93I Don=92t Buy It=94

<br>

<br>

=93 I was one of the first tenants in the World Trade Center

<br>

(WTC) back in 1979. Back then---over 20 years ago---it was

<br>

known to all the tenants of the WTC that the WTC was a

<br>

fly=94 zone. If you came within 12 miles of the WTC, flying

<br>

outside of a pattern where you were supposed to be, you were

<br>

warned to back off. If you came within five miles, they would

<br>

threaten to shoot you down. If you came within three miles, they

<br>

could shoot you down. If I remember correctly, on the roof of

<br>

tower No. 2 they had surface to air missiles for that purpose,

<br>

plus also the Spatz helicopters (for that purpose). This policy has

<br>

not changed in the past (20) years".

<p> "I

had a friend who was flying a small plane who got warned away

<br>

and they almost blew him out of the sky a few years ago because he

<br>

was showing somebody a close view of the towers".

<p>

=93I can see the first tower getting hit by surprise, but 15 minutes late=

r

<br>

the second tower also gets hit? I don=92t buy it. I don't buy any o=

f

it.

<br>

The only way this could happen is it had to be sanctioned from

<br>

someone high-up in Government or in Law Enforcement.=94

<p>

"Walt", From an Interview on Radio Free America

<br>

<p> "Today, we need a nation of Minutemen, who are not

only prepared to take arms,



<p>In the name of "patriotism" and the "war on terrorism," retailers are being  
<br>conscripted into an army of informants whose job it is to spy on their  
<br>customers. Under the terms of this legislation, all businesses must report  
<br>"suspicious transactions" to the Treasury Department. What makes a  
<br>transaction "suspicious"? You can bet there will be a 500-page manual to  
<br>answer all your questions, and if you want to stay in business you had better  
<br>commit it to memory - or find yourself accused of aiding and abetting  
<br>terrorism.

#### <p>TYRANNY BY INCREMENTS

<p>According to the text of the Act, "any person engaged in trade or business"  
<br>must report all transactions over \$10,000 to Washington bureaucrats: failure  
<br>to do so could result in punishment far worse than a mere fine. Here is a  
<br>perfect example of how the principle of incrementalism works in favor of the  
<br>expansion of state power.

<p>Before the passage of the Bank Secrecy Act of 1970, this requirement  
<br>previously applied only to pawnbrokers and car dealers, as a way to track  
<br>down stolen goods. The Banking Secrecy Act, passed in the name of fighting  
<br>the "war on drugs," extended the reporting system to banking institutions  
<br>of  
<br>one sort or another. The USA PATRIOT Act universalizes this surveillance,  
<br>and, in the process, gives government officials a key weapon that may be  
<br>utterly useless in fighting terrorism, but may prove invaluable in the  
<br>government's perpetual battle to expropriate wealth and centralize power.

#### <p>THE ROAD TO CORRUPTION

<p>If I buy three \$4,000 shipments of, say, tea imported from Japan, the fight  
<br>against terrorism is not going to be helped one whit if I report it to the  
<br>Economic Surveillance Unit of the Office of Homeland Security. Who may be  
<br>helped, however, is my competitor in the tea trade who, perhaps, has a  
<br>"friend" in the bureaucracy with access to this information. To know what the  
<br>competition is buying, and how much they're paying - what business rival  
<br>wouldn't pay through the nose to obtain this vital information? If you think  
<br>corruption is already endemic, wait until you see what life in wartime  
<br>is  
<br>like.

#### <p>'OPEN THE BOOKS!'

<p>Aside from the corruption angle, this little-known aspect of the "Patriot"  
<br>Act practically fulfills one of the key demands in the old Marxist program:  
<br>back in the old days, the Commies would always demand of "big businesses"  
<br>that

<br>they "open the books" - that is, reveal the details of their business  
<br>operations. The idea was that once the profit margins of the capitali=sts  
were  
<br>exposed to public view, such a display of wealth and greed would seem  
so  
<br>obviously obscene and exploitative that it would inevitably lead to  
a  
<br>socialist revolution. What the Commies never managed to pull off, Geo=rg  
rge  
W.  
<br>Bush and his fellow Republicans have accomplished in a single day's  
session  
<br>of Congress and the stroke of a presidential pen. The books of Americ=an  
an  
<br>business have been pried open, not by the proletariat, but by the lea=ders  
of  
<br>the world's leading capitalist country.

<p>SNEAK ATTACK

<p>Headlines proclaim the glorious "liberation" of Afghanistan, as the  
progress  
<br>of our noble allies from one victory to another is breathlessly analy=zed  
zed  
and  
<br>celebrated. Has Kunduz fallen? Will the Northern Alliance keep Kabul?  
And  
<br>what of Herat? The fate of cities half a world away is trumpeted from  
the  
<br>rooftops, but news of another sort of sneak attack, this time against  
our  
<br>free enterprise system, is barely heard above a whisper. "This is a  
big deal,  
<br>and a big change, for the vast majority of American businesses," says  
Joe  
<br>Rubin, chief lobbyist for the US Chamber of Commerce. "But I don't  
think  
<br>anybody realizes it's happened."

<p>JOE EVERYMAN, MARTYR TO WAR

<p>Oh god, I can't wait until they find out! Let us anticipate the follow=ing  
ing  
<br>scenario with unalloyed and unapologetic glee: pro-war blowhard Joe  
Everyman,  
<br>who runs a small electronics business out of his home, is suddenly  
confronted  
<br>with reams of paperwork before he can purchase or sell anything of  
<br>consequence. As he encounters costly delays, and watches his small  
savings  
<br>melt away against the backdrop of a general economic downturn, perhap=  
s  
he'll  
<br>stop railing against "ragheads" long enough to realize he's been had.  
<p>Or, then again, if he's a real dumbo, maybe he'll just scream all the  
louder  
<br>that we have to start bombing Iraq, and perhaps Syria, funneling his  
inchoate  
<br>anger at an acceptably "patriotic" target. This, at any rate, is what  
the War  
<br>Party is hoping for, as they gear up for "phase two" of Operation End=uring  
uring  
<br>Freedom - not to mention phases three through three-hundred-and-ten.

<p>OH SO CONVENIENT

<p>This war is oh so convenient for so many people that it is no wonder  
they're  
<br>telling us it could last a whole generation. If we ask the key questi=  
on

<br>"Who benefits?" - the results are like doing a Google-search on the phrase  
<br>"grassy knoll" - the results are too numerous to even list, let alone describe. One overlooked political benefit to the current administration is  
<br>that the war is an excellent diversion from the bad economic news on the home  
<br>front. An economic downturn that can always be blamed on Osama Bin Laden  
<br>would have come, anyway: the "almost official" declaration that we are in a  
<br>recession hardly comes as news to the markets, which have been in a radical  
<br>downswing that shows no signs of abating anytime soon. And here we come to  
<br>the economic uses of this war, as the real meaning of what our rulers have in  
<br>store for us becomes all too apparent.

<p>THE WWII ANALOGY & ITS USES

<p>The War Party is fond of referring to what is essentially a police action  
<br>against a ragtag band of fanatics as the moral and military equivalent  
of  
<br>World War II: the propaganda purposes of this sort of hyperbole are manifold.

<br>Not only does it demonize the other side as the modern-day equivalent of  
<br>Hitler and the Nazis - "Islamic fascism," you'll recall, is the source  
of the  
<br>New Popular Front's ire - but it also gives a sense of scale to the struggle.

<p>Clearly, in their minds, this is a gigantic battle that must not be confined  
<br>to the wilds of Afghanistan, or Somalia, or wherever they decide to go next.  
<br>It must permeate every aspect of American life: we must be perpetually

"on  
<br>alert," and not only against young Middle Eastern-looking men and their  
<br>ubiquitous box-cutters. We must also be on guard against "suspicious  
<br>transactions" - and those who fail to report them. Oh, what a field  
day  
<br>politically-connected business interests are going to have against their less  
<br>influential competitors! In addition to the toll-free "Report-a-Raghead"

<br>hotlines opened up by the FBI and Homeland Security, we'll have an economic  
<br>saboteur hotline, where stool pigeons can rat on their rivals and the whole  
<br>system of bribery and outright thievery that characterized the Clinton  
era  
<br>can metastasize into a permanent condition.

<p>SOCIALISM WITH A 'CAPITALIST' FACE

<p>The economic regulations enshrined in the "Patriot" Act have more to do with  
<br>the government's war on American business than with any "war on terrorism."

<br>The war spirit, transferred to the economy, gives us - socialism, or something damn near it. Who can doubt that this information - encoded=

of  
<br>course, in a national database - will be put to other uses, such as  
"economic  
<br>planning"? That the Sovietization of the American economy was accompl=  
ished,  
<br>not by a Leninist vanguard party, but by two ostensibly capitalist  
parties,  
<br>the Republicans and the Democrats, is an irony that few will note -  
until it  
<br>is too late.  
<p>A SOVIET AMERICA  
<p>Oh, and don't let them tell you that these are only "emergency" measur=  
es,  
and  
<br>will be repealed once the war is over. To begin with, if we take the  
<br>overblown rhetoric of the War Party at face value, we may not live  
to see the  
<br>end of this war. Secondly, since when has any government ever surrend=  
ered  
<br>power voluntarily?: a government prerogative, once granted during war=  
time,  
<br>tends to stay encoded in law. Look at New York City's rent control  
laws,  
<br>enacted as an emergency wartime measure, still in force after all the=  
se  
<br>years. No, the longer the "Patriot" Act is allowed to subvert the  
<br>Constitution, and trample on our liberty, the more likely it is to  
become a  
<br>permanent feature of American life. Congress must be forced - forced  
by a  
<br>popular outcry - to take a second look. This onerous legislation, whi=  
ch  
lays  
<br>the foundations for a Soviet socialist America, must be repealed.  
<p>'DON'T TREAD ON ME!'  
<p>As the first phase of a campaign to get rid of this Orwellian monstros=  
ity,  
<br>and warn our rulers that we won't put up with it, it is high time to  
turn the  
<br>propaganda of the War Party on its head: that is, to use their own  
tactics  
<br>against them. Our ruling elites are overjoyed, of course, that patrio=  
tism  
is  
<br>"in," and do their best to ensure that any expression of dissent is  
angrily  
<br>dismissed as disloyalty or worse. The popularity of displaying the  
American  
<br>flag is being touted as proof of the blind loyalty of the masses to  
anything  
<br>the government says or does, when, of course, it is nothing of the  
kind.  
<p>The red-white-and-blue hangs outside my door, and I am certainly not  
giving  
<br>the US government a blank check to go on a rampage, either at home  
or abroad.  
<br>People are literally waving the flag out of sheer defiance, and legit=  
imate  
<br>anger at the sheer unspeakable horror of the 9/11 attack, not out of  
<br>knee-jerk support for any particular government policies. One way to  
visibly  
<br>register dissent from the all-out assault on our civil liberties is  
to bring  
<br>out another, older flag, the one that preceded Old Glory. A banner  
showing a



free  
speech and political organizing, she says, as do some of her favorite  
hip-hop artists. She loves her music--and that may have been what spa=  
rked  
the turn of events that brought the Secret Service to her door.  
Brown suspects it began with the noise complaints. On Oct. 22, a Monda=  
y  
evening, she stayed up late playing some new CDs for her boyfriend.  
By her  
own admission, she was playing them too loud. Around midnight, a Durh=  
am  
police officer came by to tell her to turn it down, and she obliged.  
Two nights later, someone from Duke Manor called in another noise  
complaint, and again a police officer came to Brown's door. This time=  
,  
she  
says, her music wasn't playing at an offensive volume. The police off=  
icer  
speculated that the call may have been about someone else's stereo.  
During  
this visit, and unlike the first, the officer had a full view of the  
wall  
that faces Brown's front doorway, a detail that would become relevant  
two  
days later: On that wall hung The Poster.  
Brown got it at an "anti-inauguration" protest in Washington, D.C.  
Distributed to hundreds of activists, it depicts George W. Bush holdi=  
ng  
a  
length of rope against a backdrop of lynching victims, and reads: "We  
hang  
on your every word. George Bush: Wanted, 152 Dead"--a reference to  
the  
number of people executed by the state of Texas while Bush was govern=  
or.  
Brown believes that the message caused the Durham policeman who paid  
the  
second visit to her apartment to recommend a third.  
On Friday, Oct. 26, two Secret Service agents, along with Durham polic=  
e  
investigator Rex Godley, came to Brown's apartment. Special Agent Pau=  
l  
Lalley, who did most of the talking, spoke first. "Ma'am, we've gotte=  
n  
a  
report that you have anti-American material, or something like that,  
in  
your apartment," he said, according to Brown. Then the female agent  
asked  
if they could come inside.  
When Brown pressed them for a warrant and refused to allow them in,  
she  
says, "They started to talk to me about how, 'We're not here to take  
you  
away or put you in jail.' They were like, 'We need to follow up on  
every  
report we get.' I said, 'That's understandable, but how would you eve=  
n  
know  
what's in my apartment?'  
"They just said they had gotten information from some place," she says.  
She  
speculates that it was from the police officer who visited for the  
second  
noise complaint.  
Godley, the Durham police investigator, won't say where the authoritie=

got  
<br>their tip about Brown's poster. "The only thing I can tell you is tha=  
t  
we  
<br>were assisting the Secret Service on one of their cases," he says.  
<p>Lalley referred questions about the visit to Special Agent Craig Ulmer=  
,  
who  
<br>heads the Secret Service office in Raleigh.  
<p>"We went in the first place because we received a tip about a threat  
<br>against the president," Ulmer says. He refuses to identify the source  
of  
<br>the tip, except to say that it was a "concerned citizen" and not a  
law  
<br>enforcement officer. It's Secret Service policy to keep such sources  
<br>confidential.  
<p>"We can't discuss who gives us information like that, because we want  
<br>people to bring us information," Ulmer says. "If we burn our bridges,  
so to  
<br>speak, we're not going to get help from the public."  
<p>Ulmer added that the poster "was in plain view, even from the window,  
so  
<br>anyone could have tipped us off."  
<p>The agents persisted in their effort to get a peek inside the apartmen=  
t.  
<br>"They were being friendly, trying to get me to let them in," Brown  
says.  
<br>After a while, Brown called her mother, an IBM employee who is in the  
Army  
<br>Reserve. "She said to absolutely not let them in," Brown says. Not  
sure  
<br>what else to do, Brown passed the phone--with her mother still on the  
<br>line--to one of the agents.  
<p>The standoff continued, and eventually the agents explained why they  
had  
<br>come by: "We already know what it is; it's a target of Bush," one of  
them  
<br>said, according to Brown--apparently a reference to the poster. She  
<br>informed them it was no such thing. They then said, "Well, it's Bush  
<br>hanging himself." Nope, she told them.  
<p>Finally, Brown relented a bit, agreeing to open the door and show them  
her  
<br>poster wall. "They looked in, and the lady was like, 'Ohhhh, that's  
not  
<br>that bad.'" The male agent added, "We've seen worse."  
<p>Still, Brown's brush with the authorities wasn't over. "Since they wer=  
e  
<br>just gawking at my wall, I decided to explain it."  
<p>The wall features Brown's favorite art and mementos: a high-school pho=  
to  
<br>project showing the perils of smoking cigarettes; a Pink Floyd poster  
("It  
<br>has that phrase, 'Mother should I trust the government,' so I had to  
get  
<br>it"); posters for two Japanese cartoon shows; several pictures she  
took at  
<br>protests and rallies; and a headband with "Democracy" on it. And, of  
<br>course, the Bush-as-hangman poster.  
<p>Having seen the poster, Brown says, the agents questioned her further,  
<br>asking: "Do you have any Afghanistan stuff in your apartment, or anyt=  
hing  
<br>pertaining to that? Any pro-Taliban stuff?"  
<p>"I kept saying no," Brown says, "and I was like, personally, I think  
the  
<br>Taliban are a bunch of assholes." With that, the investigator and the

<br>agents bid her adieu.  
<br>&nbsp;nbsp;  
<p>Brown was temporarily rattled by the visit from the Secret Service, she  
<br>says, but the poster's still up, and she's still committed to her activism.  
<br>"I'm definitely going to be vocal," she says. "If things get really hairy  
<br>and they decide to come after activists, then I'd have to just grit my  
<br>teeth and go through it."  
<p>Ulmer rejects the notion that Brown was targeted because of her politics,  
<br>and he insists that the Secret Service would have checked this tip out even  
<br>if it had come in before the events of Sept. 11. "We were doing our job in  
<br>this particular case," he says, "and I don't think we could have done it  
<br>any better."  
<p>"The Secret Service takes all threats against the president seriously, and  
<br>we go out to check on every one. A citizen thought that there was a threat,  
<br>and we went and talked to Ms. Brown and we found that there was not a  
<br>threat." The poster, he says, was "misconstrued" by the tipster. "So it's  
<br>not a big issue. The issue is that someone misinterpreted some writing."  
<p>But when "some writing" on a poster is investigated by federal authorities,  
<br>constitutional issues come into play. Some legal analysts are warning that  
<br>the new national security vigilance, and new laws passed to counter terrorism,  
<br>might impinge on free speech in big and small ways.  
<p>"A poster of Bush, even if he's in a noose, is protected speech during wartime  
<br>or peacetime," notes Alex Charns, a Durham attorney who specializes  
<br>in civil rights. Such speech is all the more protected, he points out=  
,  
when  
<br>it's displayed within a person's home.  
<p>"If a trained police officer doesn't know the difference between political  
<br>speech and a threat to the president, then we're all in trouble," Charns  
<br>says. "If the Secret Service has nothing better to do than check on political  
<br>posters, that's a bad sign."  
<p>So, for all of you stupid Republicans, and Democrats for that matter, who  
<br>voted for  
<br>Bush Jr. thinking he would change things, thinking he would "make things  
<br>better",  
<br>thinking he was a God fearing, family loving conservative, well??????=  
??  
Still think  
<br>that? Just because someone says he/she is a Republican does NOT automatically  
<br>make them (a God fearing, family loving conservative). Perhaps now&nbsp;=&nbsp;  
sp;  
you are  
<br>finally realizing this fact. You are about to get exactly what you deserve,  
<br>slavery.  
<br>-----  
-----



says will likely continue indefinitely

as the war against terrorism

proceeds.

"I'm in constant touch with the White House,

have several video conferences a day with my

own staff, with NSC [the National Security

Council], or [National Security Adviser] Condi

Rice, [Secretary of State] Colin Powell,

[Defense Secretary] Don Rumsfeld, and with

the president," Cheney said.

In an interview with ABCNEWS' Diane Sawyer

for Primetime Thursday, Cheney added that

the United States is bearing down on Osama

bin Laden. And he used precedents from

American history to help justify a plan to try

suspected terrorists by military tribunals.



not quite as easy as it would have been a few  
<br>months ago," Cheney said. "Anybody who  
<br>contemplates providing sanctuary for bin  
<br>Laden at this point has to keep in mind what  
<br>happened to the Taliban when they did that."  
<p>Cheney said U.S. successes may act as a  
<br>deterrent for future would-be terror plotters.  
<p>"A good offense is the best defense," he said.  
<p>Cheney said the war on terror would likely be a  
<br>long war, but he would not comment on speculation that the United  
<br>States might make Iraq a target after the refusal of its leader, Saddam  
<br>Hussein, to allow renewed inspections of weapons facilities and  
<br>stockpiles.  
<p>"I don't think it takes a genius to figure out that this guy =85 continue  
s  
to  
<br>be a significant potential problem for the region, for the United States,  
<br>for everybody with interests in the area," Cheney said. "I would not want  
to  
<br>speculate on what the future might hold, but we do think that the  
<br>appropriate thing for him to do is to comply with the U.N. Security





Cheney said he is likely to continue to spend significant amounts of time there, or at other locations away from President Bush, even if Osama bin Laden gets captured or killed.

"It's entirely possible, assuming we're successful at getting bin Laden,

that the al Qaeda network, as an organization, would still be in place,"

Cheney said. He said al Qaeda is "in some 50 or 60 countries around

the world. And it may be some considerable period of time here before

we can relax and say that there's no longer a threat to the United States.

"The main purpose of [staying away from Bush] is again to make certain

that we don't allow ourselves into a situation where we both could

become a target," Cheney added. "That doesn't mean we will always be

apart, but it does mean that on those occasions when we do get

together, we don't announce it. So it's more a matter of being

unpredictable."

No Safe Harbor for Holidays

Just as the danger to the executive branch remains, Cheney noted the

American public should remain on alert for potential terror attacks at

any

time as much as he'd like to be able to assure Americans of a

carefree holiday season.

"I'd like to be able to say to everybody that you can relax at 3 o'clock

next Tuesday," Cheney said. "I can't say that. We continue to do everythi-

ng we can to safeguard against another attack, but people do have to



lence!&nbsp;sp;  
No  
<br>messy public appeals unless the president (the colonels' commander  
in  
<br>chief) or the secretary of defense (their immediate boss) says so.&nbsp;nb=  
sp;  
This  
<br>has nothing to do with ideology.&nbsp;sp; This has to do with everybody'=  
s  
<br>rights.&nbsp;sp; It bothers both liberal Senator Patrick Leahy, D&shy;Vt=  
.,  
and  
<br>conservative Congressman Bob Barr, R-Ga.  
<p>But it only gets worse.&nbsp;sp; Not only does the Bush administration  
refuse to  
<br>release information on more than 1,000 people they've already rounded  
up,  
<br>it is about to take the first step in a campaign everybody swore coul=  
d  
<br>never happen again in America &shy; the legal roundup of people based  
solely on  
<br>ethnicity.&nbsp;sp; Right now, the FBI has ordered that another 5,000  
people of  
<br>Arab descent be sought for questioning.&nbsp;sp; Never mind that they  
are perfectly  
<br>legal immigrants.&nbsp;sp; Does anybody out there like good men's clothe=  
s?&nbsp;sp;  
Well,  
<br>the famous men's designer Joseph Abboud, as good and as loyal an Amer=  
ican  
<br>citizen as they come, has already been contacted many times by the  
FBI.  
<br>Today it's the Arabs.&nbsp;sp; What about tomorrow?  
<p>What really galls is how the Bush administration has treated the Ameri=  
can  
<br>people like children.&nbsp;sp; They have not bothered to explain why the  
system of  
<br>courts, juries and judges can't handle the trials of alleged  
<br>terrorists.&nbsp;sp; Laura W.&nbsp;sp; Murphy of the American Civil Liberti=  
es  
Union notes  
<br>that the United States government has no rival in constantly protesti=  
ng  
the  
<br>use of such "courts" when U.S.&nbsp;sp; citizens are tried abroad.&nbsp;sp;  
She observes  
<br>that such kangaroo courts, coupled with eavesdropping on lawyer-clien=  
t  
<br>conversations, "demonstrates the government's increasing willingness  
to  
<br>circumvent the requirements of the Bill of Rights." The hypocrisy onl=  
y  
adds  
<br>to the stench.&nbsp;sp; It will be interesting to see how John Ashcroft  
dances  
<br>around these pesky questions of constitutional rights in upcoming  
<br>congressional hearings.  
<p>For the moment, these new regulations won't affect you, unless you are  
of  
<br>Middle Eastern descent.&nbsp;sp; If you are, expect to be hearing soon  
from the  
<br>Department of Justice, even if you entered the United States  
<br>legally.&nbsp;sp; Thanks to these new rules, we are slowly returning to  
the World  
<br>War II internment camps for Japanese Americans.  
<p>As for the more than 1,000 people already detained since Sept.&nbsp;sp;



<p>&nbsp; trigger for the 9-11 activity was the imminent and  
<br>&nbsp; unstoppable world-wide financial collapse, which can only  
<br>&nbsp; be prevented (temporarily) by a major war, perhaps to  
<br>&nbsp; become known as WW 111. To bring it off (one more time),  
<br>&nbsp; martial law will probably be imposed in the United States.  
<p>&nbsp; In each of the major wars of the 20th century, the financial  
<br>&nbsp; manipulators (located in the City of London and New York  
<br>&nbsp; City) had placed the US (and much of the Western world) in  
<br>&nbsp; a monetary expansion mode, followed by an ever-tightening  
<br>&nbsp; vice of a gigantic credit squeeze.

<p>We now have two ongoing  
<br>&nbsp; and tightly controlled simultaneous events (emanating from  
<br>&nbsp; the two symbolic targets of 911&nbsp; 1) Alan Greenspan, Fed  
<br>&nbsp; chairman, promising to flood the market with up to \$200  
<br>&nbsp; billion in FRNs and to further lower interest rates, thus  
<br>&nbsp; bringing about hyperinflation and dollar devaluation.

<p>Much of these multi billions in largesse will be dumped into the  
<br>&nbsp; coffers of Wall Street, Defense, bankrupt airlines,  
<br>&nbsp; insurance companies and into the willing arms of  
<br>&nbsp; debt-ridden third-world countries in the form of debt  
<br>&nbsp; repudiation (forgiveness). Call it bribery, in order to get  
<br>&nbsp; these often reluctant nations to join our coalition of  
<br>&nbsp; "freedom fighters" in "the war against  
<br>&nbsp; terrorism".

<p>&nbsp; 2) Paul Wolfowitz, deputy Defense secretary, promised that the  
<br>&nbsp; US will launch "sustained military strikes against&nbsp;&nbsp;&nbsp;  
those behind  
<br>&nbsp; the terrorist attacks on New York and Washington". He said tha=  
t  
<br>&nbsp; the "military retaliation would continue until the roots of  
terrorism are  
<br>&nbsp; destroyed."

<p>&nbsp; This bit of saber rattling was seconded by select NATO allies  
<br>&nbsp; (especially Britain), and by our chief ally in the Middle  
<br>&nbsp; East, Ariel Sharon, while Secretary  
<br>&nbsp; of Defense Don Rumsfeld, with the blessings of Pres. Bush  
<br>&nbsp; 11, is activating thousands of national guard and  
<br>&nbsp; reservists, not only to guard the vulnerable airports, but  
<br>&nbsp; to do fly-overs of our Nation's capital in F16s from the  
<br>&nbsp; North Dakota Air Guard. Other National Guard units are  
<br>&nbsp; being jockeyed into potential combat "hot spots"  
<br>&nbsp; throughout the Middle East/South Asia and the Balkans.

<p>&nbsp; WHO IS THE ENEMY?

<p>&nbsp; Following is a summary of the near-unanimous views of the  
<br>&nbsp; assembled military and civilian pilots concerning certain  
<br>&nbsp; critical factors relating to the WTC/Pentagon hit of 9-11  
<br>&nbsp; Troubling questions arose about the alleged pilot-hijackers  
<br>&nbsp; of the four aircraft, who were supposedly trained on Cessna  
<br>&nbsp; aircraft over the past year at fields in Florida and  
<br>&nbsp; Oklahoma. One General officer remarked, "I seriously  
<br>&nbsp; question whether these novices could have located a target  
<br>&nbsp; dead-on 200 miles removed from takeoff point...-- much less  
<br>&nbsp; controlled the flight and mastered the intricacies of 11FR  
<br>&nbsp; (instrument flight rules) -- and all accomplished in 45  
<br>&nbsp; minutes."

<p>&nbsp; The extremely skillful maneuvering of the three aircraft at  
<br>&nbsp; near mach speeds, each unerringly hitting their targets,  
<br>&nbsp; was superb. As one Air Force officer -- a veteran of over  
<br>&nbsp; 100 sorties over North Vietnam -- explained, "Those  
<br>&nbsp; birds (commercial airliners) either had a crack fighter  
<br>&nbsp; pilot in the left seat, or they were being maneuvered by  
<br>&nbsp; remote control."

<p>&nbsp; Part 2

<p>: Another pilot warned that "we had better consider whether  
<br>: electro-magnetic pulse or radio frequency weapons were used  
<br>: from a command and control platform hovering over the

<br>: Eastern Seaboard... I'm talkin' AWACS."

<p>: Another comment: "If there was an AWACS on station over  
<br>: the targeted area, did it have a Global Hawk capability? I  
<br>: mean, could it convert the commercial jets to robotic  
<br>: flying missiles?"

<p>: A hotly debated question: Who would be in command of such an  
<br>: Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS)? Were they  
<br>: Chinese -- Russians -- Saudis -- Israelis -- NATO ? All of  
<br>: these countries possess AWACS-type aircraft. All (except  
<br>: the Saudis) have the capability to utilize electro-magnetic  
<br>: pulsing (EMP) to knock out on-board flight controls and  
<br>: communications of targeted aircraft, and then, to fly them  
<br>: by remote control.

<p>: One of the Air Force officers explained that we had already  
<br>: flown a robot plane the size of a Boeing 737 across the  
<br>: Pacific to Australia -- unmanned -- from Edwards AF13 in  
<br>: California to a successful landing on an Aussie base in  
<br>: South Australia. It flies along a pre-programmed flight  
<br>: path, but is "monitored" (controlled remotely) by  
<br>: a pilot from an outside station.

<p>: He explained that the London Economist (20 Sep 2001) published  
<br>: comments from the former CEO of British Airways, Robert  
<br>: Ayling, who stated that an aircraft could be commandeered  
<br>: from the ground or air and controlled remotely in the event  
<br>: of a hijack.

<p>: COMMERCIAL JETS AS GUIDED MISSILES

<p>: An AP story, dateline Brussels - 7 Oct 01 -- "At  
<br>: Washington's request, NATO will soon deploy surveillance  
<br>: aircraft for anti-terrorist operations in the United States  
<br>: in response to the attacks on New York and Washington, NATO  
<br>: officials said Sunday, an unprecedented use of foreign  
<br>: military forces to defend the U.S. homeland."

<p>: The assembled group of pilots debated why we would ask for  
<br>: foreign forces to fly AWACS over our sovereign territory  
<br>: when we have a fleet of 33 of them, of which 28 are  
<br>: stationed in Oklahoma. The debate also centered on whether  
<br>: such NATO surveillance aircraft were already here prior to  
<br>: 11 September.

<p>: Could one of them have commandeered the four airliners?

<p>: There seems to be wide discrepancies between what the Federal  
<br>: government is proclaiming -- and their media moguls  
<br>: reporting -- as opposed to the calm and reasoned and  
<br>: rational views of those men who fly the planes and defend  
<br>: the nation against all enemies, foreign and domestic.

<p>: This writer has been a general aviation pilot since 1946. I  
<br>: have flown a variety of single engine prop aircraft since,  
<br>: and installed an FAA-approved airstrip here on my farm in  
<br>: 1980. Two local pilots periodically joined me for short  
<br>: hops; one, a Madison County lawyer, a graduate of the Air  
<br>: Force Academy, who flew for the Air Force before coming  
<br>: home to practice law.

<p>: The other, Kent Hill, who lives with his wife, Carol, on a  
<br>: farm close to mine, is an American Airlines captain  
<br>: assigned to the European route. He was a lifelong friend of  
<br>: "Chic" Burlingame, They were graduates of the  
<br>: Naval Academy and flew F-4 Phantoms in Vietnam. Both left  
<br>: the Navy 28 years ago and joined American Airlines. Both  
<br>: planned to retire in 2002. Chic was the captain of AA  
<br>: flight 77, a Boeing 757, which departed Washington Dulles  
<br>: for Los Angeles at 8: 10 am on I I September, with 58  
<br>: passengers and a crew of 6. Flight 77 crashed into the  
<br>: Pentagon at 9:41 am.

<p>: "We were totally trained on the old type of hijack,"  
<br>: Capt Hill said, "where you treat the hijacker  
<br>: cordially, punch a 4-digit code into your transponder to  
<br>: alert ground control you're being hijacked, and then get







position. The CIA wouldn't tell the FBI  
what they were doing. It's the same old  
interagency rivalry that had been going  
on for a long time. Also the FBI's  
human intelligence capabilities  
(domestically and overseas) were so  
poor that they were led to believe that  
al Qaeda, Hamas or anybody else  
would not have the ability to carry out  
this type of terrorist attack which was  
planned in the documents they had  
seized. That's why they dismissed the  
plan as wishful thinking on behalf of  
the terrorists.





money.

The CIA, in order to cover up its

own involvement with these terrorist

groups, then makes the FBI look

incompetent. The FBI, in order to

deflect the notion that they are

incompetent, says it's the fault of

Congress for not giving them more

money.

It's an endless deflection of

responsibility, but the deflection serves

everybody's purpose. It covers the

CIA, and although the FBI has to eat a



Penske and Ryder to use their trucks.

He said that he's simply been given the

"Hazardous Materials" licenses. He's

just the titular head of this operation

and he doesn't even know who the

drivers are except that they're all

Arabs. He said they're going to ramp

up the movement of illicit weapons out

of Redstone Arsenal and consequently

they need an increase in trucking

capability. He also said that it was

obvious to him that the only reason

they're giving him the money is to keep

his mouth shut because both Ryder



scapegoat. What he's being asked to do

<br>is illegal. He's being asked to illegally

<br>transport illegally sold weapons

<br>systems that were illegally purchased at

<br>the Redstone Arsenal by illegal foreign

<br>arms agents who aren't even supposed

<br>to be here. He has been illegally given

<br>transport licenses for these vehicles,

<br>which are not qualified to transport this

<br>material. Every step of what he's doing

<br>is felonious.

<p>Meanwhile his FBI pal is just

<br>moping around the office. They're

<br>

giving these guys unlimited expense  
accounts. He goes over to Pier 66  
every day for a big lobster lunch - at  
taxpayer expense -- but he's bored  
silly. He's in his mid-fifties, which is  
past standard retirement, and he just  
wants to retire and go fishing. How bad  
is it? "If he kicked in the teeth of his  
Regional Supervisor tomorrow," he  
says, "he'd probably get a medal for  
it." In other words, he can do no  
wrong. He's still with the FBI but on  
"special assignment with no specified









pend. It's a different environment  
now. Washington sees an opportunity  
to act the way it wants to act - without  
any restraints.

This is all couched under the guise  
of fighting terrorism. How does this  
square with the \$16 billion increase in  
the Department of Agriculture budget,  
an increase the Department of  
Agriculture says it doesn't need,  
doesn't want and has no idea of how  
its going to spend?



the time we should overlook  
government misdeeds. And that is the  
new attitude. O'Reilly even said that.  
O'Reilly has given up any pretense  
of being independent, fair minded, or  
balanced. He has joined the  
pro-government media crowd because  
that's where the ratings and the money  
are. He purposely tries to discredit  
anyone who doesn't agree with the  
government line. Consequently that's  
why various government watchdog  
groups are keeping their mouths shut.  
They know they will be cast in the light





trillion in debt - with no foreseeable  
surpluses being generated in the near  
future.

In addition, interest rates have

been lowered and the Bush White

House is going to pressure Greenspan

to keep interest rates artificially low

longer than they should be. This will

create an inflationary whammy down

the road. We are in effect building a

potential economics time bomb for this

nation.

Some people are actually calling





<br> power. And it increases the power of

<br> those who rule, who govern, who

<br> control, and who constitute the

<br> backbone of the Republican Party.

<p> First, there is a massive loss of

<br> people's rights, which have been

<br> transferred back to the government.

<br> Second, in this unrestrained spending,

<br> a huge amount of the people's money

<br> is being transferred back to

<br> government, and therefore back to the

<br> businesses and industries which

<br> represent the top three per cent of the

<br>



Tomahawk missiles, so-called precision  
guided weapons. As the Friendly  
Colonel points out, however, the  
problem is these weapons only have a  
37% accuracy rate. Therefore you're  
forced to bomb the same targets time  
and time again.

He explained the way this hospital  
was bombed. One of the jobs that the  
Special Forces are doing is planting  
infra red sensors around targets which  
the aircraft flying above can then target  
(the zone of these infra red sensors)

which makes the probable accuracy of  
the weapon more likely. However, the  
Taliban are being helped by a secret  
contingent of elite Iraqi intelligence  
officers familiar with this equipment.  
After the US or allied commandos go  
in and plant these devices, the Taliban  
and Iraqis pull them out of the ground  
then run down the street and put them  
around a hospital or a school. Then  
they'll be able to blame the United  
States (the Taliban doesn't care if their  
own kids are bombed) because it has



<br>include grants to install ramp ways,

<br>railing in the bathrooms and even

<br>automated toilet seats for the geriatric

<br>crowd that inhabits Congress.

<p>A prime example of this situation is

<br>Henry "Never Saw an S&L He Didn't

<br>Want To Rip Off" Hyde.

<p>When finally forced to admit under

<br>pressure from the Chicago Sun Times

<br>that he did have an extra-marital affair,

<br>Henry Hyde stated that insofar as he

<br>had had that affair when he was 48

<br>years of age, he chalked it off to

<br>



power of the President, then you add  
the powers of the 1995 Anti-Terrorism  
Act, and the further extension of those  
powers by the 2001 Anti-Terrorism  
Act, George Bush and all his  
successors have been given dictatorial  
powers.  
With the passage of this new bill,  
we have effectively turned the White  
House into the new American Imperial  
Palace.  
George Bush is actually revitalizing  
an old Nixon idea from 1972. Nixon

guards were dressed, which included

braided uniforms and swords and

golden helmets, he wanted to have the

White House guards dressed in the

same manner. He was laughed out of

the idea. Now, however George Bush

wants to reactivate the Imperial

uniform of the White House guard a la

Richard Nixon.

When you watch CNN, remember

this. The new pro-government media

like CNN subscribes to the theory that

if you broadcast a lie often enough to  
the people, whose blinders and rose  
colored glasses are securely ensconced,  
then eventually the lie becomes the  
truth.

-----  
-----

<br>  
<p>

More Skeletons from the Bush Crime Family Dynasty Closet  
<br>

Or  
<br>

How George Bush Sr. Murdered One More "Enemy"  
<p>

And speaking of DUI's in the Bush Family.....

<br>

Did you know that George Bush, Sr. was also charged with Driving  
<br>

Under the Influence of Alcohol in the State of Florida, during the time  
<br>

he was the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency?  
<p>

Naturally it was taken off the record. The arresting officer died.  
<br>

(Cue up the laugh track - murdered is the correct word). His wife was  
<br>

going through his papers and found an affidavit he had executed  
<br>

regarding this scenario because he knew that it would be taken off the  
<br>

record, and that he might be killed.





He was personally involved in it.

He voted for Silverado to finance the

deal and he helped market the deal

through his Gulfstream Realty.

This is the notorious fraudulent

limited partnership that was based on

busted-out HUD properties, formerly

belonging to the infamous

Republican-connected swindler

Leonard Millman. This was the

different one -- the partnership that

was marketed to liberal Democrats in

Congress as a "control" feature. It was



forced Alexander into a bankruptcy  
filing.

\*\*\*

The media has become quite blase

about the crimes of the Bush Family.

For instance, George Bush Sr.'s

last net worth filing with the Federal

Election Commission indicates he has

a net worth of only \$76 million. And

yet he donates \$100 million to Yale

University, and nobody even blinks an

eye.

Why? It's apathy. Everone knows

that George is probably a billionaire,  
but you look at his public disclosures,  
in no public disclosure has he ever  
claimed to be worth more than \$100  
million.  
There's so much apathy about him  
in the media. The media looks at  
George S., and so do the American  
people and they assume that no matter  
what he's saying he's lying.  
As in "Read my lips. Everytime  
they move, I'm lying." And since  
everyone already assumes that, there  
is no will to investigate.





uncertainty, media revenues have risen  
an average of 23% according to the  
latest statistics.

Translation: people are transfixed

by the boob tube. Let's keep it that  
way.

\*\*\*

If anybody was really concerned

about the election results, the first

place real concern is reflected is in the

markets.

It's true that equities haven't done

that well but that could be for a lot of



rumor, sell on the fact.

There's nothing to worry about. If

there was anything to worry about,

you would find the market buying on

the rumor. US Treasuries remain

strong. There has been no selling in

US Treasuries, nor has there been any

of what they call "hedge buying" in US

Treasuries. The Dollar Index remains

115-116. Gold remains at 265.

"Citizen, Can I See Your ID."

What has not been explained to the

American people is the reason why 35,000

Army Reservists and 65,000 National Guard  
have been called up. It is to maintain internal  
checkpoints. It has nothing to do with the  
external "War on Terrorism." All of these  
people are being trained at the US Army  
School of Urban Control at Fort Campbell,  
Kentucky. CNN actually showed an urban  
training mock-up, what they're training on,  
and what the new Internal Security  
checkpoint is going to look like. It was  
mighty sinister looking.

There was a barrier that went across the  
road. To the right was an elevated shed like

structure, elevated perhaps fifteen feet in the  
air. It had a small second story that was  
open. On it was a sign that read "Homeland  
Security Internal Checkpoint." There were  
sandbags and the wooden arm that crossed  
the road read "100% ID Checked." Then  
there was a small shed to the right with a  
small barbed wire area behind that. On this  
structure was a sign, which read, "All citizens  
not having proper identification will be  
detained. All foreign nationals will be  
detained. All citizens who are deemed to be  
acting in a suspicious manner will be  
detained." At each of these posts there will





camera during this story was a sergeant, an  
Army Reservist sergeant. You could tell that  
he completely disagreed with what was going  
on. You couldn't hear the question being  
asked, but he was looking at the camera and  
he said, "We're here to protect the people."  
Then he put his head down and shook his  
head, and you could tell he didn't believe a  
word of what he was saying -- like it was  
some big frigging joke.  
Then they showed the procedure they  
were using to train these guys. An average  
American car, like a Ford or a Chevy, drives

up and there's supposed to be a husband and  
<br>  
wife in the front seat and a couple of kiddies

<br>  
in the back. So they drive up to the

<br>  
checkpoint, and the corporal comes up to the

<br>  
car and says, "May I see your identification,

<br>  
citizen."

<p>  
They call everyone "citizen." I swear to

<br>  
God, I'm not making this up. Then the guy

<br>  
asks for his driver's license, then something

<br>  
else and something else. Then he says, "Very

<br>  
good, citizen."

<p>  
There's a spot on the gate that goes

<br>  
across the road that they had x-ed out. But

<br>

you could tell what it said because the  
sergeant alluded to it. It said, "All citizens are  
required to present their National  
Identification Cards." But they left it blank as  
a black spray-painted out spot because the  
legislation for that hasn't happened yet.

The big sign on the side of the one and  
half story shed with the machine gun nest on  
top said "Homeland Security Internal  
Checkpoint." And now we're all supposed to  
say, "Hail the Republic." That's the new  
mantra. They showed a bunch of guys being  
trained at Fort Campbell, Kentucky,  
probably enlisted and reservists and such.

<br> And they kept raising up their right arm

<br> saying, "Hail the Republic."

The sergeant even said that they are

<br> duplicating the ancient Roman Legions salute

<br> to Caesar, using the right arm upraised with

<br> the fist. Instead of "Hail Caesar," though

<br> they say, "Hail the Republic."

This is what's coming. People don't

<br> believe it or people don't understand it but

<br> when 80% of the people support whatever

<br> "security" measures are necessary.

What does it all mean? We all better

<br> start worrying when George Bush starts to

<br>

play the fiddle.

Congress is supposed to be recessed for

the rest of the year, but they will be giving

the Administration extraordinary wartime

authority -- pursuant to all remaining

legislation. In other words, they will simply

allow the Administration to act under

pending statutes. They are simply going to

transfer to the Administration emergency

wartime power to act under bills, which are

still pending, even though they haven't been

passed.

The implication is that we will be under

are 100,000 military being trained for these internal security checkpoints.

When they were showing the lines of enlisted and reserve people being trained in this camp, with M-16s in their hands, I can tell you I don't think any of them would hesitate to shoot at American citizens. I think they're being indoctrinated. The indoctrination they're going through is obvious. The enlisted people are being told by the drill sergeant that they are being given extraordinary authority that "your job is to



affix a visa stamp and charge you ten marks  
<br>  
<p>

for it. It was a real racket.

<br>  
<p>

What will be interesting to see is what

<br>  
<p>

kind of a racket is going to go along with

<br>  
<p>

these internal security check points. In other

<br>  
<p>

words, how much of a "toll" are they going

<br>  
<p>

to charge? They're going to have to do

<br>  
<p>

something to pay for all of this and one of

<br>  
<p>

the obvious ways to pay for it would be to

<br>  
<p>

charge everyone a one or two dollar "toll."

<p>

And this is what we should be looking

<br>  
<p>

forward to - toll booths around the nation.

<br>  
<p>

They're not saying this yet, but obviously in

<br>  
<p>

an effort to pay for this, there's going to be

some sort of a "security tax." Since this  
system is incredibly cumbersome (having to  
stop every single vehicle and check  
identification) and we've been taught to be  
suspicious of driver's licenses because it's so  
easy to obtain false driver's licenses, the  
implication is that national security cards are  
the only thing that will eventually be  
accepted as identification. The further  
implication is that in order to accommodate  
traffic (this will create traffic jams miles and  
miles long), there would be a separate line  
for those carrying pre-approved internal visas

whose allegiance to the government has

already been checked.

The sergeant on the news report said

that all the people involved (100,000 military

people) are being forced to swear new

loyalty oaths to the United States. He just

mentioned the government's overall policy,

which Bush talked about last week, that all

federal civilian employees are going to have

to take new oaths of allegiance to the

"Republic." And that extends to some

members of the military who will be involved

in internal security.

Surprisingly enough all these border  
checks, you would think, would be handled  
directly by the military - or under the  
auspices of the military. They're not. They're  
under the auspices of "Homeland Security."  
What it means is that you have 100,000  
troops (reservists and national guards people)  
based in the United States, which will be  
seconded to the Office of Homeland  
Security. Their ultimate jurisdiction is being  
transferred from the Department of Defense  
to the Office of Homeland Security.

In other words, the Office of Homeland



strikes in Afghanistan thus far was 37%. He  
was actually chortling on how "high" the  
accuracy rate has been. The general's exact  
words were that "the defense contractors will  
get paid as long as the things go off and hit  
the right country."

Also, the Friendly Colonel realizes the  
reason the FBI didn't stop all those weapons  
shipments from Huntsville Alabama (See  
previous story

<http://www.almartinraw.com/column22.html>)

What they were doing was  
pre-positioning materiel in Pakistan. That

leads one to the conclusion which he had  
already made earlier - that somebody knew  
this war was going to happen, possibly as  
long as six months ago (May 2001). In other  
words, they didn't know specifically the  
target, but somebody thought it likely that a  
"terrorist event" would happen that would  
precipitate a response by the US in  
Afghanistan.

And Where Did the "Mushrooms" Go?

(See previous column

<http://www.almartin.raw/column32.html>)

They were intended for use by US armed

forces. In other words, they were pre-staging  
supplies. That's where the "mushrooms"  
(anti-personnel land mines) went. He got an  
explanation how these "mushrooms" are  
used by Special Forces when they are  
clearing an area. When an area is being  
swept, they drop this weapons system  
behind them - to protect their rear and also  
to prevent anyone else from re-infiltrating an  
area which has already been cleared. The  
specific use of these mushrooms is in a  
sweep operation. When forces sweep an area  
and they don't want the area re-infiltrated  
they leave this passive weapons system



reordered and Rockwell is building them as  
fast as they can. Cruise Missiles are about  
\$1.6 million each, and the Tomahawks,  
which are larger, longer range, more  
advanced with a heavier payload, are about  
\$3.5 million each.

He estimated that about 300 missiles  
have been used so far. It's not big money,  
but these missile systems are extremely  
profitable to build. They have a simple  
guidance system, a simple conventional  
explosive, and the micro-processors  
necessary for the look-forward view capacity

is pretty simple. All they have to do is not hit  
the side of a mountain on the way to their  
target=85  
\* \* \*

MEDIA DEPT.: According to a reliable  
inside source, all the mainstream media  
outlets have received a confidential  
memorandum from the White House asking  
that they change the monikers they're using  
"Homeland Security" to "Home Front  
Security." Apparently they believe that  
"Home Front Security" sounds more patriotic  
and less sinister than "Home Land Security."



they're all dying to jump to the government  
line. MSNBC has in fact changed their

moniker form "Homeland Security" to  
"Home Front Security." "Home Front" is

more homey sounding and much more  
patriotic. It strikes a chord with a lot of

people especially older people who  
remember this being so extensively used for

security measures put in place during the  
Second World War.

\* \* \*

EDUCATION/ INDOCTRINATION

DEPT.: A warning of note -- Mothers of

America beware. Last week during National





After a five-year search and an expenditure of \$300 million of American taxpayers' money, they managed to find one bank account belonging to the Hamas terrorist group. It was in a savings bank in New Jersey, and it had \$17000 in it.

The Treasury Department's current pronouncements that the terrorist assets they're freezing every day is just so much nonsense. They're not giving us any details about who owns these accounts or how they know they're connected to terrorist groups.

One of the accounts they seized in

California? Upon further investigation, it

turned out that the account with \$346 in it

was in fact the coffee and donut fund for the

local Arab American Chamber of

Commerce.

-----

FREEDOM OF SPEECH DEPT

Having gone out to the \$8.99 All You Can

Eat Chinese Buffet with a bunch of cohorts,

we found out that unbeknownst to us, there

was an FBI agent sitting in the booth nearby.

He was there not in an official capacity, but

just having dinner with his wife. Anyway, we

were talking about the new Office of



have the power to arrest you for such  
seditious talk."

He was wearing his FBI badge on the

inside to ensure that he would get his 15%  
discount at the restaurant.

My pals are all older and they're afraid

that they'll get their Social Security checks  
taken away from them for hanging out with

me. Then I told the FBI agent that, "Hey,  
we're just speaking the truth." And he said,

"Like I said, seditious talk."

So remember - the new government

mantra is "Speaking the truth about



couldn't see their eyes. And it is true. We  
went through the checkpoint.  
And yes, they really do address you as  
"Citizen."  
From the United States of  
America to the National  
Security States of America  
Are we to remain a nation convenient  
to our politicians? Are we to remain a  
nation that, according to Bob Dole is  
"The Land of the Provincial and  
Home of the Na&iuml;ve=85Thank God"? In  
the rush for political expediency, the



sinister message. What he's saying is  
that there obviously will be "sacrifices"  
in the civil rights of the people. And  
then he's saying the "essential" civil  
rights of the people will be maintained.  
But who determines what the word  
"essential" means? Who determines  
what "rights" are essential? Certainly  
the people are not going to determine  
that. And Ridge didn't say who it was  
who would be doing the determining.  
Then Bush spoke for a few more  
minutes and said that who would be  
doing the determining would be the





Whistleblower Act of 1986 and the  
subsequent Whistleblower Protection  
Act of 1991.

Government whistleblowers are

no longer afforded the same measure  
of protection that they were before.

Whistleblowers could actually go into  
court and request federal protection  
from US Marshals. They can't do that  
anymore.

Also the creation of this agency  
with its vast new powers effectively  
guts the Freedom of Information Act.



The only submission to GAO that is  
required will be total receipts and total  
expenditures -- what all agencies have  
to give the GAO even under classified  
spending, but they don't have to break  
that spending down at all.

Furthermore (they are preparing  
the public for this), Bush knows there  
will be many Supreme Court  
challenges when we are past this "first  
blush of patriotism." When the  
American people have taken off their  
rose-colored glasses and only have  
their normal blinders on, there will be



suspended habeas corpus, there were

<br>still some rules. For example, you

<br>could not hold somebody for more

<br>than 90 days without charge. With this

<br>new agency, not only do they act ex

<br>post facto vis-a-vis habeas corpus, but

<br>there aren't any limits being imposed.

<br>They could literally detain people for

<br>years - for as long as they wanted.

<br>There is no limitation. When people

<br>talk about the suspension of habeas

<br>corpus, they talk about when Lincoln

<br>did it during the Civil War, or when

Franklin Roosevelt did it on a limited  
basis during the Second World War.

Ridge has already said that they

have the authority to hold people

indefinitely. In wartime suspension of

habeas corpus, there are still rules.

This is not a simple suspension of

habeas corpus, but it is in effect an

elimination of it. The power is granted

to the Office of Homeland Security by

default because it is immune from

judicial review.

Likewise, there is a complete



pursuant to the security of the State,  
we believe these assets may be used  
by those who would represent a threat  
to the security of the State or the  
domestic tranquility of the people."  
Technically the assets of any  
person or news agency which would  
attempt to disseminate the truth to the  
people could be seized --- since under  
the National Security Act, the truth  
about government operations can be  
withheld from the people if the  
dissemination of said truths is deemed  
to be injurious to the security of the





given to the FBI in the past, was done  
away with in 1972, when J. Edgar Hoover died -- because he so abused this power. The power of this Act will be given to this new State Security. It will give this agency the ability to label publications as "seditious" and to prevent their publication and transmission thereunto by both print and electronic means.

Previously there was the United States Title Code Statutes 792/793, otherwise known as the "Sedition Acts

of 1798," which came right after the  
Logan Amendments of 1794. Since  
the times of George Washington,  
"sedition acts" have not been used.  
And now we are talking about the  
revival of the Seditious Publication  
Acts of 1942, which I find particularly  
sinister, because it gives our new super  
State Security agency the power to  
label publications seditious to prevent  
their transmission and to prevent their  
printing. That means they can be  
prevented from being mailed or being

disseminated electronically or  
otherwise, a power which will  
undoubtedly be used by the new  
Office of Cyber Space Security. In  
fact, even the truth regarding  
government activities or operation can  
be deemed seditious and its  
dissemination to the public prevented.

In the past, when the government  
went on a tear to deny the people's  
rights, even Life Magazine from 1968  
to 1972 was formally declared a  
"seditious publication" by the FBI  
because of its photo expose' of the

horrors of Vietnam. They were  
<br>showing a lot of dead and twisted  
<br>American bodies. The FBI considered  
<br>this "anti-patriotic" and "seditious"  
<br>because it was fomenting unrest and  
<br>thus aiding those who didn't want to  
<br>see US involvement in the war.  
<p>So far there has been absolutely  
<br>no congressional or judicial opposition  
<br>to this. Public opinion polls state that  
<br>the American people are willing to  
<br>sacrifice whatever is necessary in the  
<br>so-called "New War Against  
<br>



those who wear turbans. A "suspect"  
or a "detainee" can mean anything.  
This means that there will be  
unlimited and virtually unfettered  
electronic surveillance and  
eavesdropping. This will include the  
unfettered ability to surveil on  
American people and to intercept  
communications. The only lip service  
paid to this is that finally George Bush  
made the comment that " we will not  
diminish the hard-won rights and  
liberties of the American people"





<br> years.

<p> There are many appropriation bills

<br> now in Congress, and everybody is

<br> tacking on something because this is

<br> pork-barrel heaven. Because the

<br> public doesn't care, everybody in

<br> Congress and their brother is tacking

<br> on an extra \$10 million for some little

<br> pet project.

<p> Note the foolishness of the liberal

<br> Democrats, who, in an effort to get

<br> brownie points in the "first blush of

<br> patriotism," are jumping on the band



Americans' rights to keep and bear arms is certainly coming. The Bush Administration hasn't gone that far yet because it would virtually be a killer for them to say that now. As long as Bush has an 80% approval rating and as long as 80% of the American people approve these so-called "security" measures, Bush, who has a large gun-owning constituency, will be able to get away with it - further restrictions on civilian ownership of firearms.





<br>1971 dollar crisis reached its peak

<br>when the French central bank refused

<br>to accept US dollars. If you create

<br>enough of a crisis situation, even the

<br>people who will be hurt by a change in

<br>the law will support it.

<p>Now we need to ask -- do we

<br>want to travel down the "Canadian

<br>Road"? Are we so certain we want to

<br>support National Identification Cards?

<br>Are we so certain that, like our

<br>Canadian cousins, we are prepared to

<br>become a homogenized, pasteurized,



;  
American couch potatoes with our  
  
rose-colored glasses, eyes glazed over  
  
from hour after hour of mind-numbing  
  
sitcoms, whose only awareness of the  
  
news comes from compressed sound  
  
bites and encapsulated print bullets,  
  
succumb to this, then we will most  
  
certainly find ourselves robbed of our  
  
hard won rights and liberties.  
  
All of the legislation proposed  
  
since September 12 -- if it is to pass --  
  
will constitute the largest transfer of  
  
the rights and liberties of the American

people back to the government in the  
history of the Republic.

What of all the wars that we have  
fought -- the millions of us who have  
shed our blood to maintain our rights  
and liberties as American citizens?

What do we say -- that these  
sacrifices were in vain?

For that is precisely what we will  
be saying -- if we continue to keep our  
heads stuck in the sand and say  
nothing in this hour of when our rights  
and liberties are in the greatest peril=85

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

<p>All Fall Down - The Politics Of Terror And Mass Persuasion  
<br>

<p>"Nothing would be what it is. Because everything would be what it isn't.

<br>And contrary-wise, what it is, it wouldn't be. And what it wouldn't be, it

<br>would. You see?" -- Alice in Wonderland

<br>&nbsp;

<br>WHAT NOW?

<br>&nbsp;

<br>Rightly or wrongly, Alice had it right. Or, as that great Yogi named Berra

<br>once said, "When you come to a fork in the road, take it."

<br>&nbsp;

<br>Confuse people long enough (about 25 seconds for the average TV viewer)

<br>and they will click channels, tune into less arduous distractions, and

<br>reset their brains to "snooz" control.

<br>&nbsp;

<br>It's a time-tested formula. Everyone who runs a scam for personal, political or financial gain relies on their audience's fractured attention

<br>to get away with story lines that seem absolutely compelling in the heat of

<br>some manufactured moment - but collapse into complete nonsense if we run

<br>the tape back and view it frame-by-frame.

<br>&nbsp;

<br>Take the events Sept. 11, 2001. Absolutely riveting video, relentlessly

<br>repeated. Authoritative news anchors looking dazed and grim, throwing out

<br>official statements like lifelines to millions of dazed and desperate viewers drowning in shock and disorientation.

<br>&nbsp;

<br>" It couldn't be real, but it had to be true".

<br>&nbsp;

<br>\* \* \*

<br>&nbsp;

<br>So we bought it. Or most of it anyway. We didn't ask why intelligence agencies who couldn't catch a whiff of the most complex "hit" since the

<br>invasion of Normandy knew the names of 19 cremated hijackers and their

<br>renal-challenged ringleader within 48 hours of attacks that killed 50,000,

<br>no 25,000, no 6,000, no 2,500 people..

<br>&nbsp;

<br>We didn't ask how frightened young men who couldn't fly Cessnas could navigate and steer heavy jets into their targets. Or why none of their

<br>names turned up on the passenger lists of the four airplanes they were

<br>supposed to have commandeered. (Total number of Middle Easterners among

<br>257 passengers and crew: zero.)

<br>&nbsp;

<br>We didn't ask what the United and American pilots (three of them military

<br>veterans) were doing while men armed with quarter-inch knives demanded

<br>death to fellow Americans, why their cockpit conversations were never released, why eight indestructible "black boxes" holding the keys to

<br>so many -questions were rendered "inoperable" while hijackers' paper pas-

sports  
<br>escaped explosions and fire unscathed.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>We didn't ask why a plane supposedly driven by its passengers into  
two  
<br>separate crash sites in the Pennsylvania countryside came apart in  
mid-air.  
<br>Or why the president of the United States remained more interested  
in the  
<br>story of a pet goat than reports of airliners crashing into Manhattan  
<br>skyscrapers and the Pentagon.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>We didn't ask why U.S. forces were poised to attack across the Tajiki=  
stan  
<br>border into Afghanistan in an assault announced last June - but could  
not  
<br>scramble a single fighter to defend the U.S. capitol before three air=  
liners  
<br>hit their targets on a sunny morning in September.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>We didn't ask why the CIA met with an ailing Osama bin Laden in an  
American  
<br>hospital as the biggest British battle fleet since the Falklands sail=  
ed  
for  
<br>waters off Afghanistan, why Washington gave the Taliban \$43 millions  
in  
<br>May, or why the FBI and Attorney General of the United States repeate=  
dly  
<br>ignored warnings and blocked investigations into the impending attack=  
s.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>We didn't ask what the CIA was doing in the upper echelons of Big Ban=  
ks  
<br>that profited hugely from "short-selling" United and American Airline=  
s  
<br>stocks in the final days before someone dialed 9.11.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>We didn't ask why the head of the WTC arson investigation told report=  
ers  
<br>there were "explosive devices" in the building, why so few survivors  
were  
<br>burned, or why New York firefighters were dragged away from Ground  
Zero  
<br>just when the site was becoming safe and they were getting close to  
the  
<br>bottom of things.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>\* \* \*  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>"We did not ask because we were not told what had really happened."  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>Like good Germans in Hitler's heyday, we looked the other way when  
more  
<br>than 1,100 not-quite-white people bearing Middle Eastern names were  
thrown  
<br>into U.S. prisons, interrogated, abused, held without notifying their  
<br>families or lawyers - without ever being charged with terrorist acts.  
We  
<br>were sure it couldn't happen to us.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>Anthrax held us enthralled. Instead of asking what a "weaponized" str=  
ain  
of  
<br>a decades old "Made In The USA" bacteria was doing in silent letter  
bombs,

<br>we clamored for risky antidotes and even more dangerous vaccines with=  
out  
<br>stopping to consider that anthrax is not contagious, easily treated,  
rarely  
<br>fatal - and that bee stings pose a far more serious threat to most  
Americans.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>Like frightened Germans after the Reichstag fire, we demanded that  
<br>authorities "do something". They did. A Constitution generations of  
<br>Americans had given their lives to defend was suspended. Laws were  
-quickly  
<br>passed permitting surveillance, assets seizure, arrest and detention  
of  
<br>"suspects" - without formal charges or judicial oversight. Evidence  
will be  
<br>sealed. Special tribunals will hear these cases. Forcible -quarantine  
and  
<br>inoculations are next.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>A president who told Americans the day he "took" office - "If this  
were a  
<br>dictatorship, it'd be a heck of a lot easier, just so long as I'm the  
<br>dictator" - appointed himself supreme ruler of a his new Supreme Nati=  
onal  
<br>Security Council. A hundred thousand troops were called up for "inter=  
nal  
<br>security". And we said thank God, not realizing that soon perhaps we  
could  
<br>be busted for that, too.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>\* \* \*  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>Everyone knows the score by now.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>FEMA is only for hurricanes, the Florida recount can wait, Timothy  
McVeigh  
<br>blew up a big building with the same sort of fertilizer used to cover  
up  
<br>his cohorts, Osama bin Laden is an ungrateful madman just like Saddam  
and  
<br>Noriega and all the other thugs we've hugged, Bush's "War on Drugs"  
is just  
<br>as urgent and honest as his "War on Terrorism". And kids as precious  
as our  
<br>own being blown up in Afghanistan should just get out of the way.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>We have to get bin Laden. Or maybe we don't. It's no longer clear.  
For  
<br>sure, we have to topple the Taliban. What they're doing to women must  
be  
<br>stopped. But now our leaders say maybe it would be okay if they rule  
the  
<br>southern half of the country and let us take the rest where the pipel=  
ines  
<br>have to go through.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>Pakistan is our ally. They are sending troops to fight on the side  
of the  
<br>Taliban. They have nukes. The general who heads their intelligence  
service  
<br>sent \$100,000 to a fanatic the FBI says led the suicide planes agains=  
t  
<br>Manhattan. But it's okay now. The FBI complained and the general has  
<br>resigned.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>\* \* \*

<br>&nbsp;<br>Already the vultures are gathering.<br>&nbsp;<br>On Oct. 10, 2001 U.S. Ambassador Wendy Chamberlain called on the Pakistani oil minister to get moving on the stalled Unocal pipeline from Turkmenistan across Afghanistan to a Pakistani port. Selling oil and gas to China is back on the table she says, "in view of recent geopolitical developments."<br>&nbsp;<br>In the context of appeasing China, Chamberlain is an interesting name.<br>&nbsp;<br>In the context of Sept. 11, China's ascension to the WTO before the WTC's dust had settled seemed almost scripted. Like some kind of quid pro quo -<br>you give us oil and a seat on the organization divvying up the world, we do something for you.<br>&nbsp;<br>What could that be? At least 15,000 Chinese Muslim troops have been sent to the defense of the Taliban.<br>&nbsp;<br>Some people think President Bush II's second modern "crusade" is a contest between Allah and Christ. They are dangerously wrong. This war is being fought to reinstate the real "god" - gold, oil and drugs.<br>&nbsp;<br>You and I can switch to bicycles or electric cars. But militaries cannot convert to battery-operated battleships or B-52s. The hi-tech allied armed forces needed to keep a lid on people being ripped off - which includes just about everyone on the planet - is running out of oil. The world's biggest remaining oil reserves are in the Caspian basin. A 20 billion barrel transfusion must begin flowing through 48-inch arteries just as soon as they can be laid across Afghanistan - and injected into the industrialized veins of increasingly desperate oil addicts.<br>&nbsp;<br>Forget global warming, catastrophic climate change, the oppressed women of Afghanistan, the starving millions shivering with the onset of winter, and millions more moderate Muslims who are beginning to believe that Osama bin Laden is right. We're talking serious money here: \$3 to \$5 trillion worth of oil and natural gas, and hundreds of billions more in that other addiction supplied by a country called Afghanistan.<br>&nbsp;<br>An American administration intimately linked to the international drug trade and the banks that launder its dirty money has been beside itself since the Taliban declared poppy-growing against Shariah Islamic law. Maybe

<br>the mullahs were miffed at the CIA for cranking up Afghanistan's heroin  
in  
<br>production to finance Osama bin Laden.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>Their decree was issued 19 months ago. The fields are fallow; 60% of  
U.S.  
<br>heroin imports have been shut off. Junkies are having withdrawals alm=  
ost  
as  
<br>bad as the White House, where some \$250 billion a year in illicit dru=  
g  
<br>money waters Wall Street, Big Banks, and various Bushes.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>On the scale of national budgets, the only usable amounts of cash are  
in  
<br>the drug trade. Everything else is a digital dream. If the drugs stop  
<br>flowing, the world economy will instantly collapse.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>The "shadow" administration hopes Columbia can pick up the slack. But  
a few  
<br>dedicated drug enforcement officials haven't gotten the word. They  
keep  
<br>cracking down on drug lords like Lehder linked to people with names  
like  
<br>Cheney and Bush.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>All the details are in the lawsuits brought by a former Green Beret,  
a  
<br>Contra drugs-for-arms dealer and smugglers gone straight.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>But the Mainstream Media ignores the news.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>\* \* \*  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>It's imperative that the Taliban get turfed and fresh poppies planted.  
<br>Don't get confused. These aren't the poppies for Remembrance Day. Tho=  
ugh  
in  
<br>a way they are. Americans have been conned into wars for oil and drug  
<br>profits beyond their knowledge or imagination since the U.S. Navy tra=  
cked  
<br>the Japanese carriers steaming toward Pearl Harbor, the Maddox fought  
<br>phantom Viet Cong gunboats in the Gulf of Tonkin, and Iraqi soldiers  
<br>ignored the incubator babies in Kuwait.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>The documentation is voluminous. Much it comes from government source=  
s.  
<br>Does anyone really believe the puppeteers behind the Sept. 11 attacke=  
rs  
do  
<br>not have a similar agenda?  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>You decide. Search the Internet. Check the references in the back of  
this  
<br>book. Cultivate independent news sources. Evaluate. Read the evidence  
<br>presented in All Fall Down: The Politics of Terror and Mass Persuasio=  
n.  
See  
<br>if it resonates.  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>Ask yourself: "Who benefits? Who gains?"  
<br>&nbsp; &nbsp;  
<br>"Well," says D. Icke, "The Illuminati want a world government and arm=  
y,  
<br>a world currency and centralized global financial dictatorship and  
control.

<br>They want micro-chipped people and a society based on constant survei=llance  
<br>of all kinds at all times. And they want a frightened, docile, subser=vient,  
<br>people who give their power away to the "authorities" who can save them  
<br>from what they have been manipulated to fear."&nbsp; But he is secret CFR.....

<br>so what would he know?  
<br>&nbsp;  
<br>That pretty well nails it down.  
<br>-----

<br>&nbsp;  
<p>Too Late to Stop National ID  
<br>&nbsp;

<p>"Imagine a state in which you must register your name and address with the  
<br>authorities, just so they can find you in case you break the law. In an age  
<br>when bills vaunting protections for privacy abound, and when surveys of  
<br>consumers rank privacy as a top concern, could that happen here? It is  
<br>already happening. When we rely on the federal government to solve our  
<br>problems, we invite it to intrude upon our privacy. We are asking Big  
<br>Brother to come in and make himself at home."

<p>"How Big Brother Began," Solveig Singleton, Cato Institute  
<br>&nbsp;

<p>Changes in technology have left a nation confused about how the modern  
<br>national ID system is implemented. Visions of passports with stamped  
<br>pages  
<br>need to be replaced with the modern reality of the computer age. Cent=ally  
<br>located file cabinets filled with hand written cards have been replac=ed  
<br>by  
<br>interconnected databases in a huge distributed system.

<p>It has long since been understood that safeguarding our freedom requir=es  
<br>limiting the government's access to personal information. Where a  
<br>legitimate purpose is served, government agencies have been allowed  
<br>to  
<br>accumulate limited information for specific purposes. Over the past  
<br>decade  
<br>a dramatic shift has taken place. The government has developed the  
<br>ability  
<br>to accumulate the maximum amount of information and provided central  
<br>access  
<br>to an army of low level bureaucrats. All signs indicate that this is  
<br>just a  
<br>beginning.

<p>During the eight years of the Clinton administration, the federal  
<br>government spent approximately four billion dollars developing a nati=onal  
<br>database system for keeping track of intimate details of the lives  
<br>of all  
<br>Americans. Funding, and in fact the project itself was never held up  
<br>for  
<br>close public scrutiny. Most of the people reading this article have  
<br>never  
<br>heard of the project. Of those who have, many probably believe it was  
<br>either shut down for lack of public support, or limited in purpose.  
<p>In order to understand the potential of such a system it is worthwhile  
<br>to

<br>consider its cost. Four billion dollars is a huge amount of money to spend  
<br>on development of a computer system. It could buy more than sixty million  
<br>hours (thirty thousand years) of engineering consulting time. It is enough  
<br>to pay 130,000 people an average income for one year. It is more than  
<br>enough to buy a million modern desktop computers; each one powerful enough  
<br>to manage a database containing information about every man, woman, and  
<br>child in the United States, and then some.

<p>Four billion dollars is a lot of money. It would buy almost ninety B-2  
<br>stealth bombers. It is enough to pay for about forty thousand average  
<br>homes. It is enough to send about one hundred thousand students to college  
<br>for one year or buy hot lunches for every elementary school child in the  
<br>United States for five hundred years.

<p>As awesome as the price tag is, the excuses for its existence have been  
<br>poor. The premier reason it was built, according to most official reports,  
<br>is to track child support payments and people who are supposed to make  
<br>them. But state and county governments, already armed with their own  
<br>computers resisted. Propaganda campaigns exaggerated claims of non-payment  
<br>to the level of a national emergency, but were countered with real data  
<br>from the national census and other research showing that fully employed  
<br>fathers pay well. Fathers of children supported by welfare are often poor,  
<br>unskilled, too sick to work, in jail, unknown, or dead. Another database  
<br>system does nothing to reduce poverty.

<p>The database would be used to catch illegal aliens. This was a short-lived  
<br>excuse. One only needed to point out that illegal aliens would probably  
<br>be  
<br>the only people not registered.

<p>As weak as the justification is, the child support excuse still had the  
<br>necessary characteristics. The government wanted to look into every  
<br>important detail of a person's life. Laws were passed to require financial  
<br>institutions to provide detailed information on transactions. Systems were  
<br>integrated so that information obtained from all government sources would  
<br>be available in one search, and so that businesses and other private  
<br>organizations could contribute and access information. "Deadbeat dad"  
<br>propaganda was intense. As long as people could believe that fathers do not  
<br>deserve fundamental human rights, they could accept the logic of  
<br>unconstitutional privacy infringements.

<p>States were initially asked to pay half the cost. The problem that states  
<br>were not interested was overcome by a creative funding strategy. The  
<br>federal government paid the cost of developing the system and added  
<br>incentive payments of more than one billion dollars per year to encourage  
<br>states to use it. With the inclusion of this funding, every politician,





