Received: from e3000b.state.ms.us by governor.state.ms.us; Sat, 16 Jun 2001 09:36:45 -0500 Received: from mx1.its.state.ms.us (mx1.its.state.ms.us [192.42.4.253]) by e3000b.state.ms.us (8.10.0/8.10.0) with SMTP id f5GEcEU18882 for <governor@governor.state.ms.us>; Sat, 16 Jun 2001 09:38:14 -0500 (CDT) Received: from sunlold.its.state.ms.us (sunlold.its.state.ms.us [192.42.4.37]) by mx1.its.state.ms.us (8.10.0/8.10.0) with ESMTP id f5GEaAd00563 for <governor@governor.state.ms.us>; Sat, 16 Jun 2001 09:36:10 -0500 (CDT) Received: (from nobody@localhost) by sun1old.its.state.ms.us (8.10.0/8.10.0) id f5GEc6N01360; Sat, 16 Jun 2001 09:38:06 -0500 (CDT) Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2001 09:38:06 -0500 (CDT) Message-Id: <200106161438.f5GEc6N01360@sun1old.its.state.ms.us> To: governor@governor.state.ms.us From: bel583@yahoo.com (Barbara Lofton) Subject: Comments to the Governor Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted by Barbara Lofton (bel583@yahoo.com) on Saturday, June 16, 2001 at 09:38:06 Issue: State employees' health care premiums Street_Address: 407 Hillside Drive

City: Petal

State: MS

Zip_Code: 39465

Phone: (601)583-4121

Message: State employees received a health plan update earlier this month giving us t he increased premiums for our health insurance. As is every state employee, I am co ncerned with ever increasing costs and decreasing benefits. I was much upset to lear n that with this premium increase married employees who insure both spouse and one ch ild were targeted for discrimination. The premium for one child only was reduced to \$87 monthly. The premium for coverage for a spouse and one child has increased to \$3 25. Because the premium for a spouse only is \$216, this makes the premium for one ch ild when the spouse is covered

\$109. I have always felt it was unfair to charge the same rate to employees with chi ldren regardless of whether one child or ten children were covered. I am happy to se e some improvement made for the cost of dependent coverage when only one child is cov ered. I do feel that the current resolution offers improvement to only employees who are single parents or those who do not elect coverage for a spouse. It seems we hav e reached a time when our federal government is making an effort to stop penalizing p eople for being married. I do not feel that my state government is giving me the sam e consideration. I have been a state employee for 20 years. I feel the health plan that was designed to help me is constantly finding ways to penalize me.

submit: submit